*Articles*

# **Inhibition of Human Neutrophil Elastase. 4. Design, Synthesis, X-ray Crystallographic Analysis, and Structure**-**Activity Relationships for a Series of P2-Modified, Orally Active Peptidyl Pentafluoroethyl Ketones**

Robert J. Cregge, Sherrie L. Durham, Robert A. Farr, Steven L. Gallion,<sup>†</sup> C. Michelle Hare, Robert V. Hoffman,<sup>§</sup> Michael J. Janusz, Hwa-Ok Kim,§ Jack R. Koehl, Shujaath Mehdi, William A. Metz,\* Norton P. Peet, John T. Pelton, Herman A. Schreuder,<sup>‡</sup> Shyam Sunder, and Chantal Tardif<sup>⊽</sup>

*Hoechst Marion Roussel Inc., 2110 East Galbraith Road, Cincinnati, Ohio 45215*

*Received December 1, 1997*

A series of  $P_2$ -modified, orally active peptidic inhibitors of human neutrophil elastase (HNE) are reported. These pentafluoroethyl ketone-based inhibitors were designed using pentafluoroethyl ketone 1 as a model. Rational structural modifications were made at the  $P_3$ ,  $P_2$ , and activating group (AG) portions of **<sup>1</sup>** based on structure-activity relationships (SAR) developed from in vitro (measured *K*i) data and information provided by modeling studies that docked inhibitor **1** into the active site of HNE. The modeling-based design was corroborated with X-ray crystallographic analysis of the complex between **1** and porcine pancreatic elastase (PPE) and subsequently the complex between **1** and HNE.

# **Introduction**

Human neutrophil elastase (HNE) is a major granule proteinase of human neutrophils and one of a variety of destructive enzymes involved in phagocytosis. During this process, HNE is released and controlled by endogenous proteinase inhibitors. However, during some pathological conditions, intense neutrophil infiltration results in an imbalance between the amount of HNE and endogenous inhibitors.<sup>1,2</sup> The excess HNE which accumulates can then cause abnormal degradation of healthy tissue.3,4

HNE has been implicated in the degradation of a variety of connective tissue proteins, including elastin, collagen, laminin, fibronectin, and proteoglycan.<sup>5</sup> As a result, it is thought to have a major role in the development of diseases such as pulmonary emphysema, rheumatoid arthritis, cystic fibrosis, adult respiratory syndrome (ARDS), and chronic bronchitis.<sup>6,7</sup> Therefore, it would be of therapeutic interest to develop a synthetic inhibitor of HNE which would restore the balance between the free enzyme and the endogenous inhibitors. Since HNE has been implicated in a variety of different clinical ailments, the ideal HNE inhibitor should be available by oral (po), intravenous (iv), or aerosol administration.

There have been several reports describing both peptidic<sup>8-10</sup> and nonpeptidic<sup>11-14</sup> HNE inhibitors. Peptidyl pentafluoroethyl ketone **1** is a potent, orally active inhibitor of HNE8b and was selected to enter human



**Figure 1.** Generalized structure of tripeptidyl elastase inhibitors  $(P_G-P_3-P_2-P_1-A_G)$ .

clinical trials. With the aid of molecular modeling, inhibitor 1 was rationally modified at the  $P_2$  and  $P_3$  sites in an attempt to improve its affinity for the catalytic site of the enzyme.



1 (MDL 101,146)

In parts 28b and 38a of this series, the effects of varying the N-protecting group  $(P_G)$  portion and the  $P_1$  portion of inhibitor **1** (Figure 1) were reported. In an effort to explore the effects of modifying the  $P_3$ ,  $P_2$ , and activating group  $(A_G)$  portions<sup>15</sup> of the inhibitor, computer modeling was used to guide the synthetic design.

#### **Chemistry**

The synthesis of **1** started with commercially available Boc-Val-OCH<sub>3</sub>  $2a$ . The HCl salt of Val pentafluoroethyl ketone **3**, prepared by the alkylation of **2a** with

<sup>†</sup> Department of Theoretical Chemistry. Current address: ArQule, 200 Boston Ave., Suite 3600, Medford, MA 02155. § Department of Chemistry, New Mexico State University, Las

Cruces, NM 88003-0001. ‡ Department of Biophysics, Hoechst Marion Roussel, Frankfurt,

Germany.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>⊽</sup> Synthélabo Biomoléculaire, Strasbourg, France.

**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of **1** (MDL 101,146)*<sup>a</sup>*



*a* Reagents: (a) ICF<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>, MeLi·LiBr, -78 °C; (b) HCl(g), EtOAc, rt; (c) IBCF, NMM, -20 °C; (d) HCl(g), EtOAc, rt; (e) 4-[(4 morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl chloride, NMM, -20 °C.

pentafluoroethyllithium,16 was coupled to the commercially available protected dipeptide **4** via the mixed anhydride (method I). Treatment of **5** with HCl (gas) provided the corresponding salt which was stored in a refrigerator prior to use. Pentafluoroethyl ketone **5**, following deprotection, was coupled with 4-[(4-morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl chloride to give **1** (Scheme 1). Our strategy in preparing analogues of **1** was to follow this route, when possible, substituting the desired peptide/ nonpeptide residue for the Boc-Val-Pro-OH portion of the molecule in the sequence.

The syntheses of constrained analogues **<sup>15</sup>**-**<sup>18</sup>** were carried out by coupling the appropriate carboxylic acid to pentafluoroethyl ketone **3** (Scheme 2). *N*-Boc-3 amino-2-oxopiperidineacetic acid **8** was readily synthesized from *N*-α-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)-L-ornithine via reductive alkylation with glyoxylic acid followed by intramolecular cyclization.17 The corresponding *γ*-lactam was prepared from the sulfonium salt of Boc-L-Met-Gly-OMe followed by intramolecular cyclization to give **6**. Both lactams have the S configuration at the  $\alpha$ -position. Coupling of acids 6 and 8 to the pentafluoroethyl ketone **3** gave products **9** and **11**, respectively. Deprotection and acylation with 4-[(4-morpholinyl) carbonyl]benzoyl chloride gave the respective constrained inhibitors **15** and **18**.

The intramolecular cyclization of Boc-D-Met-Ala-OMe, under similar conditions, gave an inseparable mixture

**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of  $P_2/P_3$ -Constrained Analogues  $15-18^a$ 



The phenylketomethylene and morpholinoketomethylene isosteres of the PG-Val portion of inhibitor **1** were prepared as both *R* and *S* enantiomers. The corresponding keto acids **19a**,**b** and **20a**,**b** were then coupled respectively to Pro-Val pentafluoroethyl ketone **21** to afford isosteres of inhibitor **1** (Scheme 3). To accomplish this as efficiently as possible, pentafluoroethyl ketone **21** was synthesized by coupling Boc-Pro-OH via the mixed anhydride method (method I) to **3** in 90% yield. Deprotection and coupling to the appropriate unit provided the desired isosteric analogues **22** and **23a**,**b**. Attempts to couple the *R* enantiomer **19a** with **21** resulted in internal cyclization of the starting keto acid **19a** to give a *â*-enollactone, and none of the desired coupled product was isolated.

To complement this strategy, the  $P_3$  D-valine and dehydrovaline analogues, **32** and **33**, were readily synthesized by coupling the corresponding Boc-protected D-Val or dehydrovaline amino acids, **24** and **25**, to the methyl ester of proline hydrochloride using BOP (coupling method IV, Scheme 4). Hydrolysis to the corresponding acid gave a dipeptide intermediate analogous to **4** (in Scheme 1). Coupling to **3**, deprotection, and acylation gave P3 analogues **32** and **33**.

The  $P_2$ -modified analogues  $54-56$  (Scheme 5) were synthesized using the same sequence of coupling reac-



*a* Reagents: (a) IBCF, NMM, -20 °C; (b) HCl(g), EtOAc, rt; (c) 4-[(4-morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl chloride, NMM, -20 °C.





*<sup>a</sup>* Reagents: (a) NaH, THF; (b) LiOH, H2O; (c) H+/PhH, ∆; (d) NaH, DMF, (*S*)-TfOCH(CH3)2CO2Me, 2,6-lutidine; (e) NaH, DMF, (*R*)- TfOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>Me, 2,6-lutidine; (f) EDCI, HOBt, DMF, morpholine; (g) LiOH, H<sub>2</sub>O; (h) EDCI, HOBt, NMM, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/DMF.

tions shown in Scheme 1. Coupling the  $P_2$  unit with Boc-Val-OSu **<sup>2</sup>** (method II) gave dipeptides **<sup>39</sup>**-**42**. Coupling of these dipeptide units to **3** followed by deprotection and acylation with 4-[(4-morpholinyl) carbonyl]benzoyl chloride gave the corresponding inhibitors **<sup>52</sup>**-**56**. In some cases, different coupling reagents were used to optimize the yield in the more difficult coupling reactions such as those incorporating the hindered homoproline and tetrahydroisoquinolinecarboxylic acids (vide infra). In the case of the 4-substituted proline analogues, derivatization of the 4-hydroxyl group had to precede incorporation of the hydroxyproline unit into the tripeptide. Attempts to acylate intermediate **45** directly led to complex mixtures and incomplete reactions. During the deprotection of compound **45**, a minor product was isolated and identified as the acetoxy derivative **50**. This intermediate was carried through the same sequence of reactions as hydroxy and *O*-benzyl intermediates **49** and **51**, respectively, to give **55**. Intermediates **49** and **51** gave products **54** and **56**, respectively.

The thiaproline analogue **59** (Scheme 6) and isoquinoline analogues **62a,b** (Scheme 7) were prepared as

described for the other  $P_2$  analogues. Coupling of L-thiaproline and tetrahydro-1-isoquinolinecarboxylic acid to Boc-Val-OSu **2** (method II) provided the dipeptides **57** and **60**, respectively. Coupling of dipeptides **57** and **60** to pentafluoroethyl ketone **3** followed by deprotection and acylation with 4-[(4-morpholinyl) carbonyl]benzoyl chloride gave the corresponding inhibitors **59** and **62a**,**b**.

Positional isomers **69** and **70** were also prepared following the general synthetic scheme described for inhibitor **1**. Formation of dipetides **63** and **64** using coupling method II and subsequent coupling to **3** provided pentafluoroethyl ketones **65** and **67**. Deprotection of these *N*-*tert*-butyloxycarbonyl intermediates and acylation with 4-[(4-morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl chloride provided **69** and **70** (Scheme 8).

In a previous report<sup>8a</sup> conditions are described for the direct nucleophilic perfluoroalkylation of peptide esters to form the corresponding perfluoroalkyl ketones, which is the procedure chosen for the preparation of heptafluoropropyl ketone **73**. Thus, the dipeptide unit **4** was coupled with Val-OMe'HCl to provide **<sup>71</sup>** in quantitative yield. Nucleophilic perfluoroalkylation with heptafluo**Scheme 4.** Synthesis of  $P_3$  Analogues **32** and **33**<sup>*a*</sup>



32 R = CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>  
33a. b. c R = = C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> 
$$
\alpha
$$
 = S. R. S/F

*<sup>a</sup>* Reagents: (a) ProOMe'HCl, BOP, Et3N, CH3CN, rt; (b) LiOH, MeOH/H2O, rt; (c) HCl'ValCF2CF3 (**3**), IBCF, NMM, -20 °C; (d) HCl(g), EtOAc, rt; (e) 4-[(4-morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl chloride, NMM, -20 °C.

ropropyllithium gave heptafluoropropyl ketone **72**, which was deprotected and acylated under the usual conditions to give the heptafluoropropyl ketone **73** (Scheme 9).

## **Pharmacological Evaluation**

The in vitro HNE inhibitory activity  $(K_i)^{18}$  and in vivo oral potency of selected compounds are listed in Table 1. The hamster HNE-induced pulmonary hemorrhage model, described previously,<sup>19</sup> was used as the primary screening model to determine if the compounds, when orally administered, were effective inhibitors of HNEinduced pulmonary hemorrhage. Intratracheal (i.t.) instillation of HNE into rodents induced acute pulmonary hemorrhage which was measured by the hemoglobin content in the bronchoalveolar fluid.20

In a routine screening protocol, 25 and 50 mg/kg of the test compound were administered, as a suspension in 20% Emulphor (GAF Corp.), orally by gavage 45 min prior to i.t. instillation of 100 *µ*g of HNE/animal. One hour later the animals were sacrificed, the lungs were lavaged with saline, and the amount of hemoglobin in the fluid was measured spectrophotometrically at 536- 546 nm. The percent inhibition was calculated as the ratio of pulmonary hemorrhage (BAL Hgb) in the drugtreated animals compared to the vehicle-treated animals. For those compounds with activity at the screening dose, a dose-response curve was obtained. The duration of action of selected compounds was determined by administering compound orally at various times before i.t. In vivo HNE inhibitory activity, at various time points, is presented in Table 2.

### **Results and Discussion**

**Construction of the Complex between HNE and Inhibitor 1.** HNE inhibitor **1** is a reversible, mechanism-based<sup>21</sup> inhibitor of elastase. The pentafluoroethyl functionality activates the carbonyl group of **1**, which corresponds to the scissile amide carbonyl group of substrate, to promote nucleophilic addition at the electrophilic carbonyl group and afford a stable hemiketal with the hydroxyl group of Ser 195 at the active site of the enzyme.<sup>22</sup> Since fluorinated ketone inhibitors have a tendency to epimerize at the chiral center  $\alpha$  to the ketone,<sup>23</sup> inhibitor 1 was prepared as approximately a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers. Since the natural substrates of elastase contain only L-peptides and inhibitor **1** is a tripeptide, only the L-stereoisomer was modeled in the enzyme. Coordinates from the Brookhaven Protein Data Bank<sup>24</sup> (code 1HNE) were used for constructing models of the enzyme-inhibitor complex.25 The structure of HNE inhibited by methoxysuccinyl-Ala-Ala-Pro-Ala chloromethyl ketone as the hemiketal, covalently bonded to Ser 195, was used to model all subsequent inhibitors using the corresponding atoms in the crystal structure to guide placement of analogous recognition units in our inhibitors. The initial model was constructed using inhibitor **1**. The binding site was defined by any protein residue having any atom located within 8 Å from any atom of the inhibitor in its initial conformation. Protein atoms and crystallographic water outside the binding site were held fixed during all calculations. The average structure for the complex was determined and the final structure was minimized and used to rationalize modifications to the lead compound (Figure 2). This model was validated by the X-ray





*<sup>a</sup>* Reagents: (a) Boc-Val-OSuc (**2**), DMF, Et3N, ∆; (b) HCl·ValCF<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> (3), IBCF, NMM,  $-20$  °C; (c) HCl(g), EtOAc, rt; (d) 4-[(4-morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl chloride, NMM,  $-20$  °C.

**Scheme 6.** Synthesis of Thiazoles **59***<sup>a</sup>*



*a* Reagents: (a) HCl·ValCF<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> (3), IBCF, NMM,  $-20$  °C; (b) HCl(g), EtOAc, rt; (c) 4-[(4-morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl chloride, NMM,  $-20$  °C.

crystal structure of **1** cocrystallized in porcine pancreatic elastase (PPE) and subsequently complexed with HNE. The high-resolution (1.8 Å) crystal structure of the PPE complex showed that only the LLL-diastereomer binds to the active site, even though cocrystallization was done with a racemic mixture.

**Conformationally Constrained Analogues of Inhibitor 1.** The primary specificity site of  $HNE(S_3)$  will accommodate small lipophilic residues at  $P_3$  such as





62a, b  $\alpha = R/S$ ,  $\alpha = S$ 

 $a$  Reagents: (a) HCl·ValCF<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> (3), IBCF, NMM,  $-20$  °C; (b) HCl(g), EtOAc, rt; (c) 4-[(4-morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl chloride, NMM,  $-20$  °C.

**Scheme 8.** Synthesis of P<sub>2</sub>-Positional Isomers 69 and **70***<sup>a</sup>*



*a* Reagents: (a) HCl·ValCF<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> (3), IBCF, NMM, -20 °C; (b) HCl(g), EtOAc, rt; (c) 4-[(4-morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl chloride, NMM,  $-20$  °C.

valine or isoleucine.<sup>26</sup> While the predominant local feature of the  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  subsites of the enzyme is the presence of hydrophobic pockets, there are several oxygen atoms that line the interface of these subsites, namely, HO Tyr 94, O Pro 98, and O Val 99. The side chains of Asn 61 and Asn 99 may also contribute carbonyl oxygens to this array. Substitution in the  $P_2$ and  $P_3$  positions of the inhibitor could exploit interactions with these oxygen atoms as well as with the neighboring hydrophobic areas.

Conformationally constrained analogues were prepared by substituting five- and six-membered cyclic lactams for the  $P_3$  valine and  $P_2$  proline of inhibitor **1**. These were intended to simulate a tethered Val-Pro serving as a  $P_3-P_2$  dipeptide surrogate (Figure 3). For synthetic simplicity the *γ*-carbon of L-valine was omitted. The use of a lactam in this manner has been shown to improve metabolic stability of substrate-based inhibitors and improved potency.27

**Scheme 9.** Synthesis of A<sub>G</sub> Analogue 73<sup>*a*</sup>



73

 $a$  Reagents: (a) ICF<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>, MeLi·LiBr,  $-78$  °C; (b) HCl(g), EtOAc, rt; (c) 4-[(4-morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl chloride, NMM,  $-20$  °C.

**Table 1.** In Vitro and In Vivo HNE Inhibitory Activity of Selected Compounds in the Hamster*<sup>a</sup>*

compd no.	$K_i$ (nM)	% inhibition $(n)^b$
	20	74
33c <sup>d</sup>	340	0
52c	34	79*
53	150	$54*$
54	120	0
55	40	0
56	20	7
59	70	
<b>62a</b>	100	NT
73	18	$47*$

*<sup>a</sup>* See Pharmacological Evaluation section for methodology. The compounds (25 mg/kg) were administered orally to hamsters 30 min before i.t. instillation of HNE (25  $\mu$ g). *b* Percent inhibition of lung hemorrhage is presented as the mean  $\pm$  SEM of BAL Hgb from  $6-8$  hamsters (\* $p \le 0.05$  is the criterion for statistical significance). *<sup>c</sup>* Compound given 15 min prior to administration of HNE. *<sup>d</sup>* 50 mg/kg of compound p.o. NT, not tested.

**Table 2.** In Vivo Inhibitory Activity of Selected Compounds at Various Time Points in the Hamster

		% inhibition <sup>a</sup>			
compd no.	dose (mg/kg)	1 <sub>h</sub>	1.5 <sub>h</sub>	2 <sub>h</sub>	4 h
52	25	$57*$			
	50			0	0
53	25	$43*$		39	
	50	$73*$		49*	$52*$
55	25			0	$\mathbf{0}$
56	50		44		
73	25	30		25	

*<sup>a</sup>* Compounds were administered orally to hamsters at the times indicated before i.t. instillation of HNE (25 *µ*g). Percent inhibition of lung hemorrhage is presented as the mean  $\pm$  SEM of BAL Hgb from  $6-8$  hamsters ( $p < 0.05$  is the criterion for statistical significance).

The constrained analogues of **1** showed a loss in binding affinity with respect to **1**, e.g., **15** ( $K_i = 400 \mu M$ ), **16**  $(K_i = 14 \mu M)$ , **17**  $(K_i = 1100 \mu M)$ , and **18**  $(K_i = 95$  $\mu$ M). The restricted conformation of these compounds had shifted the backbone of the inhibitor enough that the 5-amino group and carbonyl function of the lactam and the Val 216 and Val 214 of the enzyme were now not within hydrogen-bonding distances. In contrast to **17**, with the natural *S* configuration at P3, **16**, with the unnatural *R* configuration, did not show a change in the position of the backbone carbons relative to **1** in the

model. There was a good overlap of low-energy conformations between the two compounds in the active site of the enzyme. When **15** was modeled in HNE, a hydrophobic pocket was present at the  $P_2$  glycine site. To provide a better fit with this pocket, the glycine at  $P_2$  was replaced with an alanine residue to give compound **16**. Although **16** showed improved binding affinity, the binding was 1000-fold less than with **1**.

The corresponding *γ*-lactam, with the *R* configuration at the  $\alpha$ -carbon, was prepared. An alanine residue was substituted for glycine at  $P_2$ , e.g., compound **18**, to accommodate a hydrophobic pocket observed in the model of this inhibitor docked with the enzyme at this site. These subunits provided constrained analogues of **1** without replacing the peptide backbone of the original tripeptide-based structure.

**Isosteric Replacement of the P3 Val and P3 Analogues.** The carbonyl oxygen of P3 Val of inhibitor **1** accepts a hydrogen bond from the amide nitrogen of Val 216 of the enzyme, while the amide nitrogen of  $P_3$ Val donates a hydrogen bond to the carbonyl group of Val 216. The strength of this latter hydrogen bond is dependent on the orientation of the amide plane between the  $P_3$  Val and the aromatic ring. The dihedral angle ( $\Psi_1$ ) is  $-82^\circ$  ( $-32^\circ$  in the X-ray structure of **1** + PPE, Figure 4). Thus, the internal strain energy of this angle is more than compensated by the strength of the resulting hydrogen bond.

To explore the importance of the hydrogen bonding of the inhibitor Val 216 NH to the carbonyl of Val 216 of the enzyme observed from the model (Figure 5), ketomethylene isosteres of the  $P_G$ -Val portion of inhibitor **1** were prepared as both *R* and *S* enantiomers.28 These analogues, e.g., **22** ( $K_i = 4.2 \mu M$ , (*S*)-ketomethylene), **23a**  $(K_i = 41 \mu M, (R)$ -ketomethylene), and **23b**  $(K_i = 9.8 \,\mu\text{M}, \text{ (S)-ketomethylene)}$ , while showing better affinity for the enzyme than the constrained analogues, clearly demonstrated the importance of the  $P_3$  valine amide group for enzyme affinity. Replacing the  $P_3$ valine with the D-stereoisomer **32** ( $K_i = 5.5 \mu M$ ) and dehydrovaline **33** ( $K_i = 340$  nM) demonstrated the importance of the L-stereocenter at  $P_3$ . Compound **32** showed a 100-fold loss in activity versus inhibitor **1**. Dehydro analogue **33c** showed only a 10-fold loss of binding affinity  $(K_i = 340 \text{ nM})$ . With the absence of the stereocenter at P3, the L- and D-diastereomers of **33c** at  $P_1$  were separated to give the L-isomer **33a** ( $K_i = 240$ ) nM) and the D-isomer **33b** ( $K_i = 1100$  nM). These differences in affinity clearly demonstrate that the L-stereocenters of the  $P_1$  and  $P_3$  valines are critical for optimal binding activity. The observed in vitro results substantiated the hydrogen-bonding interactions between the P3 unit of the inhibitor and Val 216 of the enzyme suggested by the model and also the importance of the stereochemistry at the  $P_3$  valine of the inhibitor.

**P2-Positional Isomers, Azetidine, Homoproline, Thiazolidine, Isoquinoline, and 4-Substituted Proline Analogues.** The  $S_2$  pocket of the HNE enzyme is hydrophobic. In particular, the side chain of Leu 99 and the hydrophobic face of His 57 help to delineate this region. The upper interface of the pocket is bordered by the carbonyl oxygen of Pro 98 and the side chain of Tyr 94. There appears to be a hydrophobic cavity proximal to the 4-position of the proline residue of the



**Figure 2.** Stereoview of inhibitor **1**. Only the non-hydrogen atoms are shown for clarity. The coordinates of the inhibitor were taken from the crystal structure of the complex of inhibitor **1** with PPE.



**Figure 3.**



**Figure 4.** Stereodiagram of the electron density of the bound inhibitor **1** and PPE. The protein molecule is drawn in thin lines, the inhibitor in thick lines. To calculate this map, the inhibitor was omitted from the model and an  $F_{obs} - F_{calc}$  difference map was calculated. This means that not all the information of the bound inhibitor is present in the phases and that the electron density visible is determined solely by the experimental (reflection) data. The map is contoured at 4*σ*.

inhibitor. Placement of the appropriate lipophilic group in this region via substitution or modification of the  $P_3$ and/or  $P_2$  residues could result in better inhibitorenzyme interaction.

The P2 proline of inhibitor **1** was replaced with nipecotic and isonipecotic acids which provided positional isomers of inhibitor **1**. This introduced an additional carbon into the backbone of the molecule which affected the hydrogen bonding between the inhibitor and the enzyme. Azetidinone and homoproline analogues were synthesized to observe the effect of ring size on in vitro and in vivo activity. A thiazolidamide analogue

was synthesized to observe the effect of a heteroatom near the  $S_2$  enzyme subsite, while L-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline and 4-substituted proline analogues were prepared based on spacial volume and hydrophobicity of the  $S_2$  subsite of the enzyme.

When proline was replaced by azetidinone and homoproline, to provide inhibitors **52** ( $K_i = 34$  nM) and **53** ( $K_i = 150$  nM), respectively, both compounds showed good binding affinity for the enzyme and oral activity was similar to that of inhibitor **1**. The homoproline analogue **53** showed a longer duration of activity in vivo than **1**. On the basis of these observations, the thiazo-



**Figure 5.** Model of inhibitor **1** covalently bonded at Ser 195 of HNE. H-Bonding is apparent between N of Val  $(P_3)$  and Val 216, the carbonyl of Pro  $(P_2)$  and Val 216, and N of Val  $(P_1)$ and Ser 214 of HNE.

lidine analogue **59** and tetrahydroisoquinoline analogues **62a**,**b** were prepared. Although these compounds showed improved binding affinity over **53**, there was loss of oral activity when compound **59** was tested in vivo (Table 1).

The spacial volume and hydrophobicity of the  $S_2$ subsite of the enzyme, observed in the model, suggested that the synthesis of the 4-subtituted proline analogues **<sup>54</sup>**-**<sup>56</sup>** may provide better binding affinity. Substitution of 4-hydroxyproline for proline afforded compound **54** which had a *K*<sup>i</sup> value of 120 nM. Long aliphatic side chains terminating with basic groups (such as lysine) have been shown to bind in this region.<sup>29</sup> The acetoxy derivative of 4-hydroxyproline, **55**, had a *K*<sup>i</sup> value of 40 nM, reflecting the lesser hydrophilic and greater lipophilic nature of this group. The *O*-benzyl ether compound **56** bound with a *K*<sup>i</sup> value of 20 nM, showing that fairly large groups are accommodated at this position (Figure 6), as has been shown by others.  $30$ 

Positional isomers **69** ( $K_i = 8.5 \mu M$ ) and **70** ( $K_i = 200$  $\mu$ M) of inhibitor **1** showed a decrease in binding activity as the distance between the ring nitrogen and the amide carbonyl increased, demonstrating that changes in the backbone carbonyl and nitrogen positions are not tolerated by the enzyme.

**Variation of the Carbonyl Activating Group (AG).** The oxyanion produced by addition of the Ser 195 hydroxyl group to the pentafluoroethyl ketone of the inhibitor **1** forms hydrogen bonds with the amide protons of Gly 193 and Ser 195. The amide NH of the  $P_1$  Val hydrogen-bonds with the carbonyl oxygen of Ser 214. The X-ray crystal structure was consistent with only the LLL-diastereomer of the inhibitor **1** binding to the enzyme, although it is known that the inhibitor quickly racemizes at blood serum pH. The  $P_1$  dehydrovaline analogue was previously synthesized<sup>8a</sup> to explore the effect on binding activity.

To observe the effect of increasing the electrophilicity of the activating group of the inhibitor **1** on the formation of the hemiketal in the active site of the enzyme, the heptafluoro-*n*-propyl ketone analogue **73** was synthesized. Although binding and oral activity for **73** (*K*<sup>i</sup>



**Figure 6.** Connolly surface model of inhibitor **56** and HNE. The  $P_2$  extension of inhibitor **56** fits nicely in the  $S_2$  pocket of HNE. The hydrophobic region is shown in green and white. The region of positive charge is blue, and the negative charge is red.



**Figure 7.** Overlay of the X-ray structure of HNE (green; PDB code 1HNE) with the modeled inhibitor **1** (blue) and the X-ray structure of the complex of PPE (orange) with inhibitor **1** (white).

 $=$  18 nM) were comparable to those of **1** ( $K_i = 20$  nM), the lack of both longer duration of in vivo activity and significant increase in binding did not warrant further investigation.

### **Conclusions**

A number of  $P_3$ - and  $P_2$ -modified peptidyl pentafluoroethyl ketones were synthesized based on rational design. The model of inhibitor **1** in the enzyme, using the Brookhaven Protein Data Bank coordinates for HNE (from file entry 1HNE), proved to be very useful in guiding the synthetic effort. When the X-ray crystal structures were solved for complex PPE-**<sup>1</sup>** and HNE-**1**, there was good correlation between the model and crystal structure (Figure 7). Through structure modification, the tolerance of the enzyme to structural changes to inhibitor **1** could be monitored. Varying the P2 and P3 regions of inhibitor **1** had a dramatic effect on the in vitro potency. In general, analogues which

**Table 3.** Statistics on the PPE-**<sup>1</sup>** Complex

space group	$P3_1$
cell dimensions	$a = b = 69.1$ Å, $c = 51.1$ Å, $\alpha = \beta = 90^{\circ}$ , $\gamma = 120^{\circ}$
resolution	$1.8 \text{ Å}$
no. of observations 101240	
no. of unique reflections	24302
$R$ -sym	5.0%
completeness	93.4%
$R$ -factor	0.180 for 24038 reflections between 8.0 and 1.8 Å

varied the position of the nitrogens and carbonyls of inhibitor showed a loss in binding activity. The constrained analogues (15,  $K_i = 400 \mu M$ ; 16,  $K_i = 140 \mu M$ ; **17**,  $K_i = 1100 \mu M$ ; and **18**,  $K_i = 95 \mu M$ ) and the isosteres (**22**,  $K_i = 4.2 \mu M$ ; **23a**,  $K_i = 41 \mu M$ ; and **23b**,  $K_i = 9.8$  $\mu$ M), which did not contain the P<sub>3</sub> Val nitrogen, showed a loss of binding affinity. The latter suggests that the hydrogen bonds formed between the  $P_3$  valine of the inhibitor and Val 216 of the enzyme, observed in the X-ray crystal structure, were critical for activity.

Although the homoproline analogue **53** showed acceptable binding  $(K<sub>i</sub> = 150 \text{ nM})$  compared with inhibitor **1**, and a longer duration of action, the difficulty in preparing this analogue and reaction yield made this an unlikely candidate for replacing inhibitor **1** as the lead compound. This was also the case with the heptafluoro-*n*-propyl ketone analogue **73** ( $K_i = 18$  nM). Although compound **73** was orally active, there was not a significant enough increase in the duration of activity to warrant development of reaction conditions which would provide adequate amounts of the material for additional evaluation.

The 4-substituted prolines (analogues **<sup>54</sup>**-**56**) proved to be successful modifications of inhibitor **1** which were based on the model. Substitution with various groups defined a cavity in the  $S_2$  subsite of HNE which is very hydrophobic. This finding may allow placement of a lipophilic residue (e.g., aryl) in this region which could lead to enhanced binding and an increased duration of action. Further exploration of this pocket may lead to a more potent, orally active HNE inhibitor.

#### **Experimental Section**

**X-ray Crystal Structure. 1. Crystal Structure of Porcine Pancreatic Elastase (PPE) with 1.** Crystals of the complex formed with PPE and **1** were prepared in buffer using the sitting drop method.<sup>31</sup> Part of a big needle (dimensions  $1.0\times0.5\times0.2$  mm<sup>3</sup>) was mounted. Data were collected using a Siemens multiwire area detector mounted on a Siemens rotating anode generator. The crystal diffracted to approximately 1.8 Å to give a completely different space group ( $\overline{P3_1}$ ) from what has been previously reported ( $\overline{P2_12_12_1}$ ).<sup>32</sup> Data were processed with the XDS package, 33 and the statistics of the data collection are given in Table 3. The crystal form of the complex differed considerably from the form of native PPE crystals. Whereas native PPE crystals were cube-shaped, crystals of this complex had a needle shape.

The crystal structure was solved by molecular replacement with X-plor<sup>34</sup> using the structure of native elastase (PDB code 3EST) as a model and refined also using X-plor. The procedure was straightforward, yielding clear peaks for the rotation and translation functions. The starting *R*-factor for data between 8.0 and 3.0 Å was 0.309 after rigid body refinement. A map calculated at 3.0 Å clearly showed the bound inhibitor which was built into the density. Five cycles of map inspection, rebuilding, and refinement yielded a final model with an *R*-factor of 0.180 for all 24 038 measured unique reflections between 8.0 and 1.8 Å. A mean coordinate error of 0.2 Å can

*Inhibition of Human Neutrophil Elastase Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 1998, Vol. 41, No. 14* **2469**

**Table 4.** Statistics on the HNE-**<sup>1</sup>** Complex

space group cell dimensions resolution no. of observations	$P4_{3}22$ $a = b = 75.8$ Å, $c = 108.5$ Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$ $3.0\AA$ 48509
no. of unique reflections	6729
$R$ -sym completeness R-factor	$9.9\%$ 99.1% 0.160 for 5799 reflections between 8.0 and 3.0 Å

be estimated from a Luzzati plot. With the exception of a few surface loops, which are presumably quite mobile, the electron density maps were of sufficient quality (1.8 Å) to unambiguously determine the chirality of the bound inhibitor.

Superposition of the crystal structure of the PPE-**<sup>1</sup>** complex with the crystal structure of HNE taken from the Brookhaven Protein Data Bank (code 1HNE) revealed that the folding of the porcine and the human enzyme is very similar. The rms difference is 1.8 Å for 210 equivalent  $C^{\alpha}$  atoms, and the rms difference is 0.5 Å for 141 equivalent  $C^{\alpha}$  atoms that differ less than 3*σ*. The inhibitor forms a covalent tetrahedral adduct with the enzyme, mimicking the tetrahedral transition state that occurs during hydrolysis of peptide substrates. The carbonyl carbon of the perfluoroethyl ketone group is linked to the active site Ser 195, and the carbonyl oxygen occupies the oxyanion hole. As expected, no buried hydrogen bond donors or acceptors are present which are unable to make at least one hydrogen bond.

**2. Crystal Structure of Human Neutrophile Elastase (HNE) with 1.** Crystals of the HNE-**<sup>1</sup>** complex were obtained by the hanging drop method.<sup>35</sup> Data were collected to 3.0 Å resolution using a Siemens multiwire area detector, mounted on a Siemens rotating anode generator. As with the complex formed with the porcine enzyme, the complex crystallized in a crystal form which has not previously been published. The space group is  $P4_322$ . Data were processed with XDS,<sup>33</sup> and statistics of the data collection are given in Table 4.

The crystal structure was solved by molecular replacement using the AMoRe<sup>36</sup> package, and refinement was done with X-plor.34 The structure of HNE inhibited by methoxysuccinyl-Ala-Ala-Pro-Ala chloromethyl ketone (PDB code 1HNE) was used as a model. The procedure was performed using data between 15.0 and 3.5 Å for the two possible enantiomorphic space groups  $P4_122$  and  $P4_322$ . The correct solution was very clear with a correlation of 0.346 and an *R*-factor of 0.471 in space group  $P4<sub>3</sub>22$ . A map calculated at 3.0 Å clearly showed the bound inhibitor and carbohydrate attachment sites at Asn 109 and 159. Four cycles of map inspection, rebuilding, and refinement yielded a final model with an *R*-factor of 0.160 for 5799 reflections between 8.0 and 3.0 Å. A mean coordinate error of  $0.2-0.3$  Å can be estimated from a Luzzati plot. The electron density is well-defined considering the relatively low resolution of 3.0 Å.

Superposition of the HNE-**<sup>1</sup>** complex with the crystal structure of HNE taken from the Protein Data Bank (code 1HNE) revealed that the folding of HNE does not change very much upon binding of the different inhibitors. The rms difference is 0.6 Å for 217 equivalent  $C^{\alpha}$  atoms and 0.2 Å for 175 equivalent  $C^{\alpha}$  atoms that differ less than 3 $\sigma$ . The largest difference in  $C^{\alpha}$  position is 4.2 Å for Asn 148 in loop 147-151, a flexible surface loop. The rms differences between the HNE-**<sup>1</sup>** complex and the PPE-**<sup>1</sup>** complex are 1.7 Å for all 214 equivalent  $C^{\alpha}$  atoms and 0.5 Å for 138  $C^{\alpha}$  atoms deviating less than 3*σ*, which are virtually identical to the differences between PPE-**<sup>1</sup>** and the 1HNE complex. Figure 8 shows that the binding mode of **1** is identical for the  $\overline{C_2F_5}$  unit through the proline moiety, but the positions of the phenyl and especially the morpholine ring differ considerably. This is due to steric hindrance with loop 173-175 in the PPE structure. It should be noted, however, that the inhibitor bound to HNE is involved in crystal contacts which may lead to subtle alterations of the binding mode.

**3. Chirality of the Bound Inhibitor.** At 3.0 Å resolution, it is not possible to establish unambiguously the chirality of



**Figure 8.** Superposition of the X-ray crystal structure of HNE-**<sup>1</sup>** and PPE-**<sup>1</sup>** complexes. Shown are the backbones of HNE (thin green lines) and PPE (thin orange lines) and inhibitor **1** as bound to HNE (thick green lines) and PPE (thick orange lines). Also indicated is loop 173-175 of PPE, which hinders entrance of the morpholine ring of **<sup>1</sup>** into the active site cleft, resulting in a different binding mode for this part of the inhibitor.

the bound inhibitor in HNE. However, inhibitor **1** was used (the LLL-diastereomer) for cocrystallation, and this diastereomer fits well to the electron density maps. Since the binding mode of this part of the molecule is identical in the PPE complex and the stereochemistry was determined unambiguously as being LLL in PPE, it is almost certain that this is the diastereomer which binds to HNE as well.

The inhibitor forms a covalent tetrahedral adduct with the enzyme, mimicking the tetrahedral transition state that occurs during hydrolysis of peptide substrates. The carbonyl carbon atom of the pentafluoroethyl ketone is linked to the active site Ser 195 and the carbonyl oxygen occupies the oxyanion hole. As was observed for the PPE-**<sup>1</sup>** complex, the fluorine atoms F75 and F76 hydrogen-bond with the active site His 57.

**4. Modeling Parameter Estimation.** After placement of the inhibitor in the binding site, all water molecules were removed and the inhibitor geometry was optimized for 100 steps of steepest descent, holding all enzyme atoms fixed. A distance-dependent dielectric constant was used in conjunction with a 12 Å cutoff in all calculations. The inhibitor was then subjected to 20 ps of molecular dynamics (MD) at 300 K and reoptimized using the conjugate gradient algorithm until the gradient norm fell below 0.01 kcal/mol/Å. Two solvent layers of thickness 8 and 14 Å were added to the binding region, keeping crystallographic positions where possible. Hydrogen positions were established by placing harmonic positional restraints on oxygen atoms in the second solvent cap and all crystallographic waters, fixing the position of protein heavy atoms during a 30-ps MD simulation. This was followed by relaxation of all protein and solvent positions for 30-ps and a 50 ps production run during which coordinates were saved every picosecond.

The conformation of the terminal *N*-[4-[(4-morpholinyl) carbonyl]benzoyl] group is largely governed by the values of the dihedral angles between the aromatic ring and the adjacent amide groups. *N*-Methylbenzamide is an appropriate template by which to judge the quality of the molecular mechanics parameters used for this torsion potential. The default CVFF force field<sup>37</sup> of DISCOVER 2.9<sup>38</sup> yields a 2-fold rotational barrier in excess of 14 kcal/mol for *N*-methylbenzamide. Similiar results were obtained with the CFF91 force field.39 This steep barrier tends to keep the amide group coplanar with the aromatic ring and will affect the capability of this group to form proper hydrogen-bonding geometries with atoms at the receptor. The energy profile for this dihedral as measured by the semiempirical AM140 Hamiltonian in MOPAC  $6.041$  is 4-fold, with the minimum at about  $45^{\circ}$  and barriers of 0.6 and 1.1 kcal/mol to bring the amide group in-plane and perpendicular to the aromatic ring, respectively.<sup>42</sup> Similiar results have recently been noted by others<sup>43</sup> and are in

accordance with NMR data.<sup>44</sup> Adjustments to the parameters  $(V_2 = 7.0 \text{ kcal/mol}$  and  $V_4 = 0.1 \text{ kcal/mol}$  for the C-C-C-N torsion were made in order to reproduce the semiempirical curve.

**General Methods.** All reactions were performed in ovendried, magnetically stirred 50-, 100-, or 250-mL, roundbottomed flasks under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Except where noted otherwise, reagents and starting materials were obtained from common commercial sources and used as received. Anhydrous solvents were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co., Inc. in Sure/Seal bottles. Other reaction solvents and all chromatographic, recrystallization, and workup solvents were spectroscopic grade and used as received. The organic extracts were dried over anhydrous  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>$ -SO4 prior to solvent evaporation. Thin-layer chromatograms were visualized first with UV light and then by I2, unless specified otherwise.45 Flash chromatography46 was performed on Merck silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh ASTM, EM Science).

Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian VXR-300, Unity 300, Unity  $400$ , or Gemini-300 spectrometer in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, unless otherwise stated. 1H NMR chemical shifts (*δ*) are reported in ppm relative to TMS (0.00 ppm) or chloroform  $(7.26$  ppm) as a reference, 19F NMR (282 MHz unless otherwise indicated) signals are reported in ppm from  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  with an external reference standard of CFCl3, and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in hertz (Hz). Signals were designated as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), p (pentet), m (multiplet), br (broad), etc. First-order analysis of spectra were attempted when possible; consequently, chemical shifts and coupling constants for multiplets may only be approximate. 13C NMR spectra were recorded on the Varian Gemini instrument (75 MHz) with chemical shifts (*δ*) reported in ppm relative to  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  (77.0 ppm), unless stated otherwise. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer model 1800 or a Mattson Galaxy 5020 FT-IR spectrophotometer. Mass spectra (MS) data were collected at 70 eV on a Finnigan MAT 4600, MAT TSQ-700, or VG Analytical Limited ZAB2-SE mass spectrophotometer using electron impact (EI) or chemical ionization (CI) with the molecular ion designated as  $M^+$  and the relative peak height in percent given in parentheses. Computerized peak matching with perfluorokerosene as the reference was used for HRMS. Combustion analysis was performed using a Perkin-Elmer model 2400 elemental analyzer, and analysis fell within  $\pm 0.4\%$ of the calculated values.

Starting materials were purchased from Aldrich with the exception of some specified amino acids which were purchased from Bachem Bioscience Inc. and Advanced Chem Tech. Hydrogen chloride gas was purchased from AGA Burdox, and the pentafluoroethyl iodide  $(CF_3CF_2I)$  used was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. (lot #04512HZ, purity 97%).

The coupling reactions between the amino acids were performed by one of four methods: method I, using a mixed carbonic-carboxylic acid anhydride (isobutyl chloroformate, IBCF); method II, generating the *N*-hydroxysuccinic imido ester of valine **2**; method III, using a carbodiimide (dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, diisopropylcarbodiimide, or water-soluble carbodiimide, EDCI); or method IV, using phosphorus reagents such as (benzotriazol-1-yloxy)tris(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (BOP). Each method is initially described in detail where appropriate and then referred to as general method I, II, III, or IV for subsequent experimental procedures. Also, repetitious experimental procedures are described initially in detail and subsequently referred to as general procedures.

**Abbreviations**: Boc, *tert*-butyloxycarbonyl; NMM, *N*-methylmorpholine; IBCF, isobutyl chloroformate; DMF, *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide; HOBT, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate; HMPA, hexamethylphosphoramide; DCC, 1,3-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide; EDCI, 1-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]-3-ethylcarbodiimide'HCl; HOSu, *<sup>N</sup>*-hydroxysuccinamide; BOP, (benzotriazol-1-yloxy)tris(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate; DME, ethylene glycol dimethyl ether; TMS, tetramethylsilane; PhMe, toluene; RB, round-bottom; rt, room temperature; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; conc, concentrated.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valylsuccinimide (2).** To a cooled (ice bath) stirred solution of *N*-Boc-L-valine (4.56 g, 21 mmol) and *N*-hydroxysuccinimide (2.41 g, 21 mmol) in DME (50 mL) was added DCC (4.75 g, 23 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at 5 °C and then left to stand in the refrigerator overnight. The reaction mixture was then coldfiltered, the solid washed with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ , and the combined filtrate conc to yield a solid, which was crystallized from EtOAc/hexane to afford **<sup>2</sup>** (4.59 g, 69.5%) as a white crystalline solid: mp 123- 124 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.00–4.95 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.3 Hz), 4.62 (dd, 1H, *J* = 4.97 Hz), 2.85 (s, 4H), 2.75–2.44 9.3 Hz), 4.62 (dd, 1H, *J* = 4.97 Hz), 2.85 (s, 4H), 2.75–2.44<br>(m, 1H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.25–0.90 (m, 6H)<sup>, 13</sup>C NMR (CDCl+) δ (m, 1H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.25-0.90 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 168.6, 167.9, 155.1, 155.0, 80.4, 77.4, 77.2, 77.0, 76.6, 76.5, 57.0, 31.6, 31.1, 28.2, 28.1, 28.0, 27.99, 27.93, 25.5, 18.6, 17.3; MS (CI/CH4) *m*/*z* 315 (MH+), 299, 287, 259, 241, 215 (base peak), 173, 172, 145, 144, 116, 100, 72. Anal.  $(C_{14}H_{22}N_2O_6)$  C, H, N.

**4-Amino-1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-hexanone Hydrochloride (3).** A solution of *N*-Boc-L-valine methyl ester **2a** (2.27 g, 9.81 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (14 mL)/toluene (11.3 mL) was cooled to  $-50$  °C and treated with  $CF_3CF_2I$  (3.7 mL, 31.1 mmol, 3.2 equiv) and then further cooled to  $-60$  °C and treated dropwise with MeLi<sup>-</sup>LiBr (1.5 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 20 mL, 30.0 mmol, 3.1 equiv) in 55 min,  $-60$  to  $-50$  °C. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h and then treated dropwise with 2-propanol (10 mL, 20 min;  $\le -50$  °C). After stirring for 30 min, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C and then poured into 1 M KHSO<sub>4</sub> (60 mL). Phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (50 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried  $(MgSO<sub>4</sub>)$ , and filtered, and the filtrate was conc in vacuo (room temperature, 15 mmHg) to provide a white solid. This solid was chromatographed on  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  [40 g, eluting with hexane (400 mL) and then 10% EtOAc/hexane (400 mL)] to provide 4-[(*tert*-butyloxycarbonyl)amino]-1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methylhexan-3-one (2.22 g) as a white solid. This solid was crystallized from hexane (40 mL, reflux and then cooled to 0 °C) to provide an analytically pure sample (1.62 g, 57%) (first crop; remaining material in the mother liquor):  $R_f = 0.77$  in 20% EtOAc/ hexane; mp 69-70 °C; IR (CHCl3) *<sup>ν</sup>*max 3443, 2976, 1753, 1716, 1500, 1369, 1234, 1197, 1163 cm-1; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 5.00 (m, 1H), 4.80 (m, 1H), 2.30 (m, 1H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.10 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz), 0.84 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.9$  Hz); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.1 (s), -121.4 (d,  $J = 297$  Hz), -122.8 (d, *J* = 297 Hz); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  225 nm (*ε* = 754); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>) *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 320 (MH<sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal. (C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>5</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N. To a stirred, ice-cooled solution of 4-[(*tert*-butyloxycarbonyl)amino]-1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methylhexan-3-one (1.6 g, 5.0 mmol) in EtOAc (30 mL) was bubbled HCl gas for 3 min.

The reaction was then capped with a drying tube and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction was conc and then triturated with  $Et_2O$  to afford **3** as white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 9.10 (br s, 3H, NH3), 4.75 (br s, 1H, CH), 2.70- 2.51 (m, 1H, CH), 1.35 (d, 3H, CH3), 1.09 (d, 3H, CH3).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-3-amino-2-oxo-1-pyrrolidineacetic Acid (6).** A solution of Boc- $\alpha$ -Met-Gly methyl ester<sup>17</sup> (5.0 g, 15.6 mmol) and methyl iodide (30 mL, 0.48 mol) was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The supernatant was drawn off, and the residue was dried under high vacuum to give the methyl sulfonium salt as an amorphous solid. To this, dissolved in  $DMF/CH_2Cl_2$  (1:1, 312 mL) under N<sub>2</sub>, was added NaH (1.25 g, 31.5 mmol, as a 60% oil dispersion), and the reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 2.5 h. EtOAc (104 mL) was then added followed by  $H_2O$  (24 mL), and the resulting solution was left at room temperature overnight, then conc in vacuo, and partitioned beween  $H_2O$  (50 mL) and  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL). The aqueous phase was then acidified to pH 4 with 0.5 M citric acid and continuously extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . Conc in vacuo yielded a solid which was crystallized from  $CH_2Cl_2$  to give **6**  $(1.0 \text{ g}, 3.8 \text{ mmol})$  as a white solid: mp  $168-169 \text{ °C}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(DM\bar{S}O-d_6)$   $\delta$  12.80 (br s, 1H), 7.13 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.8$  Hz), 4.10 (br q, 1H,  $J = 9.6$  Hz), 4.02 (d, 1H,  $J = 17.6$  Hz), 3.82 (d, 1H,  $J = 17.6$  Hz),  $3.40 - 3.23$  (m, 2H),  $2.30 - 2.19$  (m, 1H),  $1.90 -$ 1.75 (m, 1H); MS (CI/CH4) *m*/*z* 259 (MH+), 243, 231, 217, 203 (base peak), 185, 173, 159, 141, 114, 88, 74.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-3-amino-**r**-methyl-2-oxo-1-pyr**rolidineacetic Acid (7). Boc-D-Met-L-Ala-methyl ester<sup>17</sup>  $(6.08 \text{ g}, 18.2 \text{ mmol})$  was dissolved with stirring in CH<sub>3</sub>I (25) mL, 0.40 mol) in a stoppered flask wrapped in aluminum foil. After 6 days, the solution was concentrated in vacuo;  $CH_2Cl_2$ was added, and the solution was concentrated in vacuo three times to give 8.10 g of an amorphous solid, which was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (125 mL). This solution (and a  $CH_2Cl_2$  (25 mL) rinse) was added rapidly to a vigorously stirred suspension of pentane-washed NaH (1.82 g of 60% dispersion, 45.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in DMF (150 mL) at 0  $^{\circ}$ C under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 3 h, then poured into cold dilute HCl, and extracted twice with ether. The combined extracts were washed twice with water and brine and dried (MgSO4). Concentration in vacuo gave 2.94 g of pale-yellow oil. Cystallization from cyclohexane/EtOAc gave 819 mg (17%) of fine white crystals. The analytical sample was obtained after a second recystallization from cyclohexane/ EtOAc to give acid **7** as a pale-yellow cystalline powder. Variable temperature NMR showed a coalescence of the methyl doublets, but not of the coupled  $\alpha$ -proton quartets, indicating that the sample was a mixture of diastereomers: mp 143- 151 °C dec; IR (KBr) 3387, 2982, 1744, 1701, 1669, 1518, 1246, 1167 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.10 (m, 1H), 4.54 and 4.48 (two overlapping q, 1H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 4.24-4.01 (m, 1H), 3.37-<br>3.15 (m, 4H – H<sub>2</sub>O peak + 3H), 2.25 (m, 1H), 1.79 (m, 1H) 3.15 (m,  $4H - H_2O$  peak  $+ 3H$ ), 2.25 (m, 1H), 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.39 (s, 9H) 1.31 and 1.30 (2d in 3.2 ratio 3H  $I = 7.4$  Hz) 1.39 (s, 9H), 1.31 and 1.30 (2d in 3:2 ratio, 3H,  $J = 7.4$  Hz); MS (CI/CH4) *<sup>m</sup>*/*<sup>z</sup>* (rel intensity) 310 (M<sup>+</sup> + 29), 273 (MH+), 245, 218, 217 (100), 216, 199, 173. Anal. (C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) C, H, N.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-3-L-amino-2-oxo-1-piperidineacetic Acid (8).** A solution of *N*-α-(*tert*-butyloxycarbonyl)-*N*-<br>β-(carboxymethyl)ornithine<sup>17</sup> (1.0 g, 3.45 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) was heated at 55 °C for 16 h and then conc in vacuo to provide a yellow oil which crystallized from EtOAc-hexane affording 8 (800 mg, 85%) as a white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *<sup>δ</sup>* 7.38 (br m, 1H), 5.4 (m, 1H), 4.34-3.15 (series of m, 5H), 2.35 (m, 1H), 2.0-1.54 (m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-3-amino-2-oxo-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-1-pyrrolidineacetamide (9). Coupling Method I.** To a stirred solution of **6** (225 mg, 0.872 mmol) and NMM (0.10 mL, 0.872 mmol) in CH2-  $Cl_2$  (10 mL) at  $-20$  °C was added IBCF (0.11 mL, 0.872 mmol). The reaction was stirred at  $-20$  °C for 20 min when additional NMM (0.10 mL, 0.872 mmol) was added followed by addition of acid **3** (223 mg, 0.87 mmol) as a solid in one portion. After stirring at  $-15$  °C for 1 h the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature, diluted with additional CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, extracted with 10% HCl (3  $\times$  20 mL), saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2  $\times$  20 mL), and brine  $(1 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ , dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and conc to afford 9 (400 mg, 100%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 7.45 (d, 1H,  $J = 4.5$  Hz),  $5.15 - 4.90$  (m, 1H),  $4.65 - 4.30$  (br d, 1H), 4.10-3.40 (m, 4H), 2.60-2.10 (m, 3H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.16- 0.85 (dd, 6H,  $J = 7$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  192.4, 172.4, 168.7, 168.36, 168.32, 155.2, 115.6, 78.0, 59.2, 51.0, 50.9, 50.8, 44.9, 44.8, 44.79, 44.77, 43.8, 43.7, 43.6; 19F NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* -194.3  $(s, 3F), -234$   $(s, 2F).$ 

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-3-amino-**r**-methyl-2-oxo-***N***- (1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-1-pyrrolidineacetamide (10a,b).** A stirred solution of acid **7** (274 mg, 1.01 mmol) was coupled to **3** (269 mg, 1.05 mmol) by method I to give, after flash chromatography, a less polar diastereomer of pentafluoroethyl ketone **10a** (248 mg, 41%) as a colorless oil and the more polar diastereomer of pentafluoroethyl ketone **10b** (163 mg, 34%) as white crystals. For the less polar diastereomer **10a**: 1H NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 7.1-6.98 (m, 1H), 5.23 (m, 1H), 5.02-4.65 (m, 2H), 4.23 (m, 1H), 3.52-3.1 (m, 2H), 2.61 (m, 1H), 2.45 (m, 1H), 1.94 (m, 1H), 1.45 (2s) and 1.44 (m) and 1.39 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz) [12H total], 1.07 (d,  $J =$ 6.9 Hz) and 0.99 (d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz) and 0.85 (d,  $J = 6.9$  Hz) [6H total]; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -79.44 (s) and -82.11 (s) in 1:12 ratio,  $-121.25$  (d,  $J = 296$  Hz),  $-121.86$  and  $-122.17$  (inner peaks of an AB pattern),  $-122.80$  (d,  $J = 296$  Hz). Recrystallization from cyclohexane gave the analytical sample with mp 152-154 °C, for the more polar diastereomer **10b**: IR (KBr) 3395, 3295, 2976, 1684, 1532, 1505, 1285, 1235, 1200, 1167 cm-1; 1H NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 7.3 (m, 1H), 5.25 (m, 1H), 4.97- 4.8 (m, 2H), 4.09-3.94 (m, 1H), 3.4-3.24 (m, 2H), 2.6-2.33 (m, 2H), 2.3-2.04 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.40 and 1.38 (2d in 1:2 ratio, 3H,  $J = 7.2$  Hz), 1.05, 1.00, and 0.93 (3d, in a 2:1:3 ratio, 6H,  $J = 6.8, 6.7, 6.8$  Hz, respectively); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *<sup>δ</sup>* -82.05 (s, 3F), -121.73, -121.76, and -121.81 (3s in 5:4:18 ratio, 2F); MS (CI/CH4) *<sup>m</sup>*/*<sup>z</sup>* (rel intensity) 514 (M<sup>+</sup> + 41), 502  $(M^+ + 29)$ , 474 (MH<sup>+</sup>), 446, 419, 418 (100), 400; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> +90.4° (*c*) 0.52, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal.  $(C_{19}H_{28}F_5N_3O_5)$  C, H, N.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-3-L-amino-2-oxo-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2 pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-1-piperidineacetamide (11).** A stirred solution of acid **8** (750 mg, 2.75 mmol) was coupled to **3** (702 mg, 2.75 mmol) via method I to give **11** (1.10 g, 85%) as an amorphous solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.37  $(m, 1\overline{H})$ , 4.97  $(m, 1H)$ , 4.1-3.3 (series of m, 6H), 2.35  $(m, 2H)$ , 2.1-1.33 (series of m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu), 0.97 (m, 6H, 2  $\times$  $CH<sub>3</sub>$ ).

**General Procedure I: Representing Removal of an** *N***-***t***-Boc Protecting Group. 3-Amino-2-oxo-***N***-(1,1,1,2, 2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-1-pyrrolidineacetamide Hydrochloride Salt (12).** A stirred solution of **9** (400 mg, 0.87 mmol) in EtOAc (10 mL) at ice bath temperature was treated with HCl gas for 4 min; then the reaction was stoppered with a drying tube and stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo yielded **12** (300 mg, 87.3%) as an amorphous solid: 19F NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* -52.5 (s, 3F), -92.1 (s, 2F).

**L-3-Amino-2-oxo-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3 oxo-4-hexanyl)-1-piperidineacetamide Hydrochloride Salt (14).** A stirred solution of **11** (900 mg, 1.90 mmol), treated as in general procedure I, afforded **14** (770 mg, 99%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 7.98 (m, 0.5H, NH), 7.35 (m, 1H), 4.92 (m, 1H), 4.28-3.2 (series of m, 6H), 2.41 (m, 2H), 2.29-1.54 (series of m, 3H), 1.01 (m, 6H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ).

**General Procedure II: Representative Coupling with 4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl Chloride.** *N***-[4-[(4- Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-3-amino-2-oxo-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2 pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-1-pyrrolidinam**ide (15). To a stirred suspension of 4-[(4-morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoic acid (0.717 g, 3.1 mmol) and benzyltriethylammonium chloride (5 mg, 0.02 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (20 mL) was added thionyl chloride (0.225 mL, 3.1 mmol), and the reaction was heated at reflux. After 2.5 h, the reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and conc in vacuo. The residue was then azeotroped with CCl<sub>4</sub> and placed under vacuum to give the acid chloride as a light-orange oil (quantitative) which was used without further purification. In a separate RB flask, a stirred solution of **12** (1.0 g, 2.50 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL) was cooled to -20 °C. NMM (0.33 mL, 3.0) mmol) was added and immediately followed by the dropwise addition of the acid chloride in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) at such a rate as to maintain the internal reaction temperature at  $-10$  °C or less. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. After 2 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ (20 mL) and washed with 1 N HCl (2  $\times$  20 mL), saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> ( $2 \times 20$  mL), and brine ( $1 \times 20$  mL). Drying (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and conc in vacuo afforded a crude yellow oil which was immediately flash chromatographed (2- $\times$  15-cm column eluted with 1:27 MeOH-CH2Cl2) to give **<sup>15</sup>** (876 mg, 60%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) *<sup>δ</sup>* 9.05-8.90  $(t, 1H, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz})$ ,  $8.62 - 7.60$   $(t, 1H, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz})$ ,  $7.93$   $(d, 2H,$  $J = 8.5$  Hz), 7.50 (d, 2H,  $J = 7.9$  Hz), 4.79-4.69 (m, 2H), 4.16-3.92 (m, 2H), 3.80-3.10 (m, 10H), 2.26-2.20 (m, 2H), 2.00 (m, 1H), 0.98 (m, 3H), 0.91 (m, 3H); 13C NMR (DMSO-*d*6) *δ* 172.08, 172.0, 168.3, 165.4, 138.4, 138.3, 134.6, 134.5, 127.3, 126.9, 65.9, 59.3, 59.2, 50.3, 50.2, 44.9, 44.2, 44.1, 40.3, 40.0, 39.9, 39.7, 39.5, 39.2, 38.9, 38.6, 27.9, 25.2, 19.2, 19.1, 17.1, 17.0; MS (CI/CH4) *m*/*z* 577 (MH+), 533, 490, 429, 404, 358, 330, 272, 228, 220, 200 (base peak), 180, 152, 91, 70. Anal.  $(C_{25}H_{29}F_5N_4O_6.1H_2O)$  C, H, N.

 $[3R$ - $[1(\alpha S^*), 3R^*]$ ]- $\alpha$ -Methyl-3- $[4$ - $[$ (4-morpholinyl)car**bonyl]benzoyl]amino]-2-oxo-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5 methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-1-pyrrolidineacetamide (16).** A flask containing the less polar diastereomer of pentafluoroethyl ketone **10a** (241 mg, 0.509 mmol) was treated as described in general procedure I to give **13a**. **13a** was then treated according to general procedure II to afford **16** (174 mg, 58%) as an amorphous solid: IR (KBr) 3422, 3376, 3322, 1682, 1645, 1539, 1281, 1223, 1202 cm-1; 1H NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 7.85 (d, 2H,  $J = 8.2$  Hz), 7.47 and 7.46 (2d, 2H,  $J = 8.3$ , 8.2 Hz), 6.90 (m, 1.3H), 6.78 (d, 0.7H,  $J = 8.1$  Hz), 5.03 (ddd, 0.3H,  $J = 8.5$ , 4.6, 0.9 Hz), 4.93 (ddd, 0.7H,  $J = 8.1$ , 3.9, 1.0 Hz), 4.79 (q, 0.7H, J  $= 7.1$  Hz), 4.64-4.44 (m, 1.3H), 3.88-3.17 (m, 11H), 2.83 (m, 1H), 2.38 (m, 1H), 2.12-1.94 (m, 1H), 1.50 and 1.44 (2d in a 1:2 ratio, 3H,  $J = 7.2$  Hz), 1.09 and 1.01 (2d in a 1:2 ratio, 3H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz), 0.085 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ major  $-82.11$  (s),  $-121.23$  (d,  $J = 295$  Hz),  $-122.91$  (d,  $J =$ 295 Hz); minor  $-82.09$  (s),  $-121.25$  (d,  $J = 295$  Hz),  $-122.71$ (d,  $J = 295$  Hz); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>)  $m/z$  (rel intensity) 631 (M<sup>+</sup> + 41), 619 ( $M^+$  + 29), 592, 591 ( $MH^+$ , 100), 305, 139, 99. Anal.  $(C_{26}H_{31}F_5N_4O_6)$  C, H, N.

 $[3S[1(\alpha R^*), 3R^*]]$ - $\alpha$ -Methyl-3- $[4-[4-morpholiny])$ car**bonyl]benzoyl]amino]-2-oxo-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5 methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-1-pyrrolidineacetamide (17).** The more polar diastereomer of pentafluoroethyl ketone **10b** (147 mg, 0.310 mmol) was deprotected as described in general procedure I to give **13b**. This was then treated as in general procedure II to provide **17** (99 mg, 54%) as an amorphous <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.99 (d, <0.5H, *J* = 6.1 Hz), 7.76 and 7.72 (2d in 2:1 ratio, 2H,  $J = 8.3$  Hz), 7.63 (d, <1H,  $J = 8.2$  Hz), 7.57 (d, <1H, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 7.40 and 7.35 (2d in 2:1 ratio, 2H, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 5.00 (m, 1H), 4.92 (q, 1H, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 4.44-4.35 and 4.28-4.17 (2m in 2:1 ratio), 3.85-3.25 (m, 10H), 2.63 (m, 1H), 2.47 (m, 1H), 2.27 (m, 1H), 1.48 and 1.44 (2d in a 1:2 ratio, 3H,  $J = 7.3$  Hz), 1.10 and 1.06 (2d in a 2:1 ratio, 3H,  $J$  $= 6.8$  Hz), 1.01 and 0.096 (2d in 1:2 ratio, 3H,  $J = 6.9$  Hz); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ −81.96 and −82.03 (2s in 1:2 ratio, 3F),  $-121.70$  (apparent d, inner peaks of AB pattern),  $-121.81$  (s in 2:1 ratio, 2F); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>)  $m/z$  (rel intensity) 631 (M<sup>+</sup> + 41), 619 ( $M^+$  + 29), 592, 591 ( $MH^+$ , 100), 99. Anal.  $(C_{26}H_{31}F_5N_4O_6)$  C, H, N.

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-L-3-amino-2 oxo-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)- 1-piperidineacetamide (18).** A stirred solution of **14** (690 mg, 1.68 mmol) was treated as described in general procedure II to give **18** (160 mg, 16%) as an amorphous solid: IR (KBr) 3059, 3423, 3381, 3373, 3362, 3310, 2966, 2931, 2862, 1753, 1639, 1541, 1496, 1464, 1438 cm-1; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3)

*δ* 7.84 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, aryl), 7.46 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.9 Hz), 7.32 (m, 1H, NH), 7.05 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, NH), 5.02 (m, 1H), 4.75 and 4.44 (2d, 1H,  $J = 18$  Hz), 4.29 (m, 1H), 3.9-3.25 (series of m, 11H), 2.60-2.32 (m, 2H), 1.98 (m, 3H), 1.04 (d, 3H,  $J = 9$ Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.91 (dd, 3H,  $J = 12$ , 9 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 168.6, 138.56, 134.9, 127.47, 127.41, 127.3, 127.2, 77.5, 77.4, 77.3, 77.2, 77.1, 77.0, 76.8, 76.79, 76.72, 76.5, 66.8, 59.6, 59.3, 52.1, 51.9, 51.8, 49.37, 49.33, 29.6, 28.9, 28.7, 27.4, 27.2, 21.1, 21.0, 19.86, 19.81, 16.8, 16.7; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.04 (d, J 21.0, 19.86, 19.81, 16.8, 16.7; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.04 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz CF<sub>2</sub>) -121.76 and -122.01 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz CF<sub>2</sub>) = 5.6 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), -121.76 and -122.01 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>);<br>MS (CI/CH) *m*/z631 619 591 (MH<sup>+</sup> 100) 571 504 443 416 MS (CI/CH4) *m*/*z* 631, 619, 591 (MH+, 100), 571, 504, 443, 416, 372, 357, 301, 218, 153, 104, 71. Anal.  $(C_{26}H_{31}F_5N_4O_6)$  C, H, N.

**L-2-Isopropyl-4-oxo-5-phenylbutanoic Acid (19a).**<sup>47</sup> To a stirred, cold (0 °C) solution of l-methylhydroxyisovaleric acid  $(1.32 \text{ g}, 10 \text{ mmol})$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$   $(15 \text{ mL})$  was added triflic anhydride (1.84 mL, 11 mmol) followed by 2,6-lutidine (1.28 mL, 1 mmol) under  $N_2$ . The resulting solution was stirred for 10 min and used for the next reaction without further purification. Meanwhile, to a stirred cold (0 °C) suspension of NaH (1.0 g of 60% in oil, 22 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) was added, dropwise, a solution of ethyl benzoylacetate (3.84 g, 20 mmol) in THF (50 mL) under  $N_2$ . After 10 min of stirring, the above triflate was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) and added dropwise to the gray suspension. The resulting solution was stirred at reflux for 13 h. Upon cooling, 1 N HCl solution (60 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 80 \text{ mL})$ . The organic extracts were combined, washed with brine (100 mL), dried (MgSO4), passed through a short pad of silica gel, and conc by rotary evaporator (bath temperature 30 °C) to provide a yellow oil. This oil was dissolved in  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (70 mL) and THF (70 mL), treated with LiOH $\cdot$ H<sub>2</sub>O (2.5 g), and stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The resulting solution was acidified by 6 N HCl (pH 5) and heated at reflux for 12 h. After the reaction cooled to room temperature, the solution was basified by solid KOH (pH 10) and washed with  $Et_2O$  (70 mL). The aqueous phase was acidified by 6 N HCl (pH 3) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 80$ mL). The combined EtOAc extracts were dried (MgSO4), passed through a short pad of silica gel, and conc to give **19a** as an oil (920 mg, 42%) after purification by radial chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 95:5, 90:10, 80:20). The oily product solidified upon addition of hexane/EtOAc (1:1) to give a white solid:  $[\alpha]^{25}$ <sub>D</sub> -24.06 (*c* 0.64, MeOH); mp 103-105 °C; IR (neat) <sup>3600</sup>-2500 (br), 2996, 1802, 1708, 1598, 1582, 1450, 1214 cm-1; 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) *δ* 7.96 and 7.46 (m, 5H, phenyl), 3.48 (dd, 1H, B of ABX, CH<sub>2</sub>CH), 3.30 (m, 2H, A and  $X$  for ABX, CH<sub>2</sub>CH), 2.10 (m, 1H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.02 (d, 6H,  $J =$ 8 Hz, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). Anal. (C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N.

**D-2-Isopropyl-4-oxo-5-phenylbutanoic Acid (19b).**<sup>47</sup> The corresponding D-isomer was prepared in the same manner from D-methylhydroisovaleric acid, as described above, in 45% yield:  $[\alpha]^{25}$ <sub>D</sub> +32.36 (*c* 0.72, MeOH).

**L-2-Isopropyl-4-oxo-5-morpholinylbutanoic Acid (20a).**<sup>47</sup> To a stirred suspension of NaH (2.4 g, 60% oil dispersion, 60 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) was added di-*tert*-butylmalonate (4.32 g, 20 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 3 h. Meanwhile to a stirred solution of L-2-methylhydroxyisovaleric acid ester (2.64 g, 20 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (20 mL) was added triflic anhydride (4 mL, 22 mmol), followed by 2,6-lutidine (2.8 mL, 24 mmol) at 0 °C, and the solution was stirred for 10 min. This triflate was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) and added to the above enolate. The resulting mixture was then stirred at 0 °C for 3 h. The reaction was then quenched with  $H_2O$  (100 mL) and extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 80$  mL), and the combined extracts were washed successively with 1 N HCl (100 mL) and brine (100 mL), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), passed through a short pad of silica gel, and conc to a yellow oil. This oil was dissolved in toluene (50 mL), treated with TFA (5 mL) at room temperature for 1 h, and heated at reflux for an additional 5 h. After cooling to room temperature the reaction was concentrated to a residue which was dissolved in EtOAc (150 mL), washed with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (100 mL) and brine (100 mL), passed through a short pad of MgSO4 and silica gel, and conc to provide 2-isopropyl methyl succinate as a sticky oil (2.35 g, 71%) after purification by flash

chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 90:10, 80:20, 60:40):  $[\alpha]^{25}$ <sub>D</sub> -14.0 (*<sup>c</sup>* 0.4, MeOH); IR (neat) 3500-2500 (br), 2977, 1745, 1716, 1442, 1377, 1242, 1183 cm-1; 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  3.70 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.76 (m, 2H, B and X for ABX, CH<sub>2</sub>CH), 2.46 (dd, 1H,  $J = 16$ , 2.8 Hz, A of ABX, CH<sub>2</sub>CH), 2.00 (septet, 1H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.94 (dd, 6H,  $J = 6.8$ , 7.2 Hz, CH-(CH3)2); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) *δ* 178.5, 175.0, 51.8, 47.2, 32.9, 30.2, 20.0, 19.6.

To a stirred solution of the above oil (2.02 g, 13.8 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) were added HOBt (2.16 g, 15.5 mmol) and morpholine (1.4 mL, 16 mmol), followed by EDCI (3.0 g, 16 mmol) at 0 °C. The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 3 h and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stir overnight; 1 N HCl (100 mL) and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (100 mL) were added, and the reaction was extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$ . The combined extracts were washed with saturated  $NAHCO<sub>3</sub>$  (100 mL) and brine (100 mL), passed through a short pad of MgSO4/ silica gel, and conc to provide a colorless oil  $(2.15 \text{ g}, 64\%)$ . Without further purification this oil (2.15 g, 8.8 mmol) was dissolved in THF and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (50 mL/50 mL) and treated with LiOH'H2O (420 mg, 10 mmol) at room temperature overnight. After 16 h the reaction was washed with  $Et_2O$  (100 mL) and the remaining aqueous phase acidified with 6 N HCl (pH 3). The aqueous phase was then extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 100)$ mL), and the combined extracts were dried (MgSO4), conc, and flash chromatographed (hexane/EtOAc, 60:40, 20:80) to provide **20a** as a colorless oil (1.3 g, 65%). Crystallization from hexane and EtOAc gave a white solid:  $[\alpha]^{25}$ <sub>D</sub> -24.9 (*c* 0.45, MeOH); mp 79-28 °C; 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) *<sup>δ</sup>* 10.47 (br, 1H, C00H), 3.66 (set of m, 8H,  $CH_2CH_2$ ), 2.82 (m, 2H, B and X for ABX, CH<sub>2</sub>CH), 2.32 (dd, 1H,  $J = 15.6$ , 2.8 Hz, A of ABX, CH<sub>2</sub>-<br>CH) 2.04 (m, 1H, CH(CH<sub>2</sub>) 0.98 (dd, 6H,  $J = 6.8$ , 7.2 Hz CH), 2.04 (m, 1H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.98 (dd, 6H, *J* = 6.8, 7.2 Hz, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>2</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  179.0, 170.6, 66.8 CH(CH3)2); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) *δ* 179.0, 170.6, 66.8, 66.5, 47.5, 46.0, 42.2, 31.4, 30.0, 20.2, 19.8.

**D-2-Isopropyl-4-oxo-5-morpholinylbutanoic Acid (20b).**<sup>47</sup> The corresponding D-isomer was prepared from D-2-methylhydroxyisovaleric acid ester in the same manner as described above in 62% yield:  $[\alpha]^{25}$ <sub>D</sub> +26.36 (*c* 0.33, MeOH).

*N***-(1,1,1,2,2-Pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-Lprolinamide (21).** Boc-proline (2.15 g, 0.01 mol) and **3** (2.26 g, 0.01 mol) were coupled by method I to yield Boc-Pro-Val- $C_2F_5$  (3.30 g, 79%) as an amorphous solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.85 (m, 1H, NH), 4.98 (m, 1H), 4.38 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH), 3.43 (m, 2H), 2.36 (m, 1H, *<sup>â</sup>*-CH Val), 2.3-1.71 (m, 4H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.06, (d, 3H,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.87 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>)  $m/z$  (rel intensity) 457, 445 (M<sup>+</sup> + 29), 417 (MH+), 389, 361, 341, 317 (100), 269, 213, 186, 170, 114, 84, 70.

Treating this Boc-Pro-Val-C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub> (624 mg, 1.50 mmol) as described in general procedure I gave HCl salt **21** (544 mg) as a very hygroscopic white foam.

**[2***S***-[1(***R***\*),2***R***\*]]-1-[2-(1-Methylethyl)-4-phenyl-1,4-dioxobutyl]-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl) prolinamide (22).** Amine hydrochloride **21** (620 mg, 1.77 mmol) was coupled to **19b** (380 mg, 1.77 mmol) using coupling method III affording **22** (140 mg, 15%) as a colorless glass: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.19 (d, 1H,  $J = 7.5$  Hz), 7.98-7.89 (m, 3H), 7.6-7.3 (m, 5H), 4.91 (m, 1H), 4.65 and 4.60 (2dd, 1H, *<sup>J</sup>*  $= 8.0, 1.4$  Hz and  $J = 8.1, 2.0$  Hz), 4.14 and 3.98 (q and m, 1H,  $J = 7.0$  Hz),  $3.75 - 3.60$  (m, 2H),  $3.13 - 3.07$  (m, 2H),  $2.97$ (m, 1H), 2.55-2.23 (m, 2H), 2.13-1.78 (m, 4H), 1.30 (d, 1H, *<sup>J</sup>*  $= 7.0$  Hz),  $1.17 - 1.00$  (m, 2H),  $0.89 - 0.85$  (m, 12H, d at 0.88, J  $= 6.9$  Hz, and d at 0.86,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, discernible); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl3) mixture of isomers (6:4) A:B *<sup>δ</sup>* <sup>A</sup> -82.20 (s, CF3),  $-121.45$  and  $-122.86$  (AB quartet,  $J = 296$  Hz,  $CF_2$ ); B  $-82.17$ (s, CF<sub>3</sub>),  $-121.37$  and  $-122.71$  (AB quartet,  $J = 296$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/CH4) *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 519 (MH+), 499, 399, 317, 300, 272, 203 (100), 105, 84, 70. Anal.  $(C_{25}H_{31}F_5N_2O_4)$  C, H, N.

**[2***S***-[1(***S***\*),2***R***\*]]-1-[2-(1-Methylethyl)-4-(4-morpholinyl)- 1,4-dioxobutyl]-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-2-pyrrolidinecarboxamide (23a). Coupling Method III.** Coupling of the amine hydrochloride **21** (370 mg, 1.05 mmol) with acid **20a** (232 mg, 1.01 mmol) using HOBT (159 mg, 1.04 mmol), EDCI (256 mg, 1.34 mmol), and NMM

(115 mL, 1.05 mmol) in 2:1  $CH_2Cl_2/DMF$  (4.5 mL) gave 479 mg of yellow oil which was combined with 89 mg of crude material from a similar experiment and purified by flash chromatography (10% acetone/EtOAc) to give 333 mg (47%) of **23a** as a very pale-yellow tacky glass: IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 2967, 1674, 1634, 1466, 1439, 1227, 1198, 1117 cm-1; 1H NMR  $(CDCl_3)$   $\delta$  7.60 (d, 0.5H,  $J = 8.7$  Hz), 7.57 (d, 0.5H,  $J = 9.1$ Hz), 4.67 (m, 1H), 4.06-3.89 (m, 1H), 3.76-3.37 (m, 10H),  $2.96 - 2.65$  (m, 2H), 2.51 and 2.50 (2dd, 1H,  $J = 16.3$ , 6.8 Hz and  $J = 16.3$ , 6.6 Hz), 2.38-2.23 (m, 1H), 2.14-1.79 (m, 4H), 1.01-0.85 (m, 12H, d at 0.99,  $J = 6.6$  Hz), 0.92 (d,  $J = 6.9$ Hz), 0.87 ( $J = 6.9$  Hz, discernible); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* -79.29 and  $-79.45$  (2s, trace),  $-82.01$  and  $-82.13$  (2s, 3F),  $-120.97$  $(d, 0.5F, J = 293 \text{ Hz})$ ,  $-121.25 (d, 0.5F, J = 297 \text{ Hz})$ ,  $-123.09$  $(d, 0.5F, J = 297 \text{ Hz})$ ,  $-123.32 \ (d, 0.5F, J = 293 \text{ Hz})$ ; MS  $(CI)$ CH<sub>4</sub>)  $m/z$  (rel intensity) 568 (M<sup>+</sup> + 41), 556 (M<sup>+</sup> + 29), 528  $(MH^+)$ , 441, 213, 212 (100). Anal.  $(C_{23}H_{34}F_5N_3O_5)$  C, H, N.

**[2***S***-[1(***R***\*),2***R***\*]]-1-[2-(1-Methylethyl)-4-(4-morpholinyl)- 1,4-dioxobutyl]-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-2-pyrrolidinecarboxamide (23b).** Coupling of the amine hydrochloride **21** (402 mg, 1.14 mmol) with acid **20b** (229 mg, 1.00 mmol) using coupling method III gave pentafluoroethyl ketone **23b** (303 mg, 57%) as a colorless glass. A second chromatography (3.5-6.5% *i*-PrOH/ CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) taking only the center fractions failed to remove an impurity (presumably a third diastereomer), giving **23b** (225 mg) as a colorless glass: IR (film) 2969, 1686, 1643, 1437, 1225, 1200, 1117 cm-1; 1H NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 9.25, 8.16, and 7.93 (3d in 1:7:5 ratio, 1H,  $J = 8.8$ , 7.5, 7.3 Hz), 4.97-4.88 (m, 1H), 4.79-4.43 (m, 1H), 3.96-3.84 (m, 1H), 3.74-3.43 (m, 10H), 3.00-2.84 (m, 2H), 2.70-2.23 (m, 3H), 2.11-1.75 (m, 4H), 1.09-0.85 (m, 12H, d at 1.07,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, d at 0.88,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, and d at 0.87,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, discernible); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 53:34:8 mixture of isomers A:B:C  $\delta$  A -82.18 (s), 121.45 (d,  $J = 295$ Hz),  $-122.84$  (d,  $J = 295$  Hz); B  $-82.14$  (s),  $-121.33$  (d,  $J =$ 295 Hz),  $-122.69$  (d,  $J = 295$  Hz); C  $-82.22$  (s),  $-120.60$  (d, *J* ) 292 Hz), -123.57 (d, *<sup>J</sup>* ) 292 Hz); MS (CI/CH4) *<sup>m</sup>*/*<sup>z</sup>* (rel intensity) 556 ( $M^+$  + 29), 529, 528 ( $MH^+$ , 100), 212. Anal. (C23H34F5N3O5) C, H, N.

**2-[(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)amino]-3-methyl-2-butenoic Acid (25).** *N*-Boc-dehyrovaline methyl ester (730 mg, 3.20 mmol), prepared from the dehydrovaline methyl ester hydrochloride,48 was hydrolyzed in MeOH/H2O (4:1, 20 mL) with LiOH $\cdot$ H<sub>2</sub>O (2.13 mg, 51 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C for 24 h, then allowed to cool to room temperature, and conc in vacuo to a residue which was dissolved in  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  and washed with EtOAc. The aqueous solution was then acidified with 3 M HCl, with ice bath cooling, to pH 2 and extracted with EtOAc. The organic extract was then washed with brine, dried ( $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ ), and conc in vacuo to afford **25** (637 mg, 92%) as a white glass. This was used in the next step without further purification.

**Boc-D-valyl-L-proline (26). Coupling Method IV.** To a stirred solution of Boc-D-valine (**24)** (from Novabiochem; 2.4 g, 0.011 mol), L-proline methyl ester HCl (1.8 g, 0.011 mol), and BOP (Novabiochem; 4.9 g, 0.011 mol) in CH3CN (100 mL) at room temperature was added  $Et_3N$  (3.1 mL, 0.022 mmol). After stirring at room temperature for 2 h, the reaction was treated with brine (100 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3  $\times$ 100 mL). The combined extracts were washed with 1 N HCl (100 mL) and then saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (100 mL) and dried  $(MgSO<sub>4</sub>)$ . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude oil was immediately flashed chromatographed  $(3 - \times 21$ -cm column eluted with  $2:3 \text{ Et}_2O$ -hexane) to give the dipeptide methyl ester (1.97 g, 55%) as a colorless oil:  $^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.27 (d, 1H,  $J = 8$  Hz, NH), 4.44 (m, 1H, α-CH of Val), 4.35 (m, 1H), 3.9 (m, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.6 (m, 1H), 2.27-1.9 (pr m, 5H), 1.44 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.00 (d, 3H, *<sup>J</sup>* ) 6.8 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.92 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>). Saponification of the ester (1.63 g, 4.96 mmol) in MeOH (30 mL) at room temperature with 1 N NaOH (4.0 mL) at room temperature for  $\overline{3}$  h gave **26** (1.0 g, 64%) as an amorphous solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.38 (d, 1H,  $J = 8$  Hz, NH), 4.51 (m, 1H,

a-CH of Val), 4.3 (m, 1H), 3.92 (m, 1H), 3.57 (m, 1H), 2.3-1.9 (series of m, 5H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu), 0.95 (m, 6H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ).

**Boc-dehydrovalyl-L-prolinamide (27). 25** (592 mg, 2.75 mmol) and L-proline methyl ester hydrochloride (455 mg, 2.75 mmol) were coupled using method IV to give the dipeptide methyl ester as an amorphous solid (406 mg, 45%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CD\tilde{Cl}_3)$   $\delta$  6.07-5.80 (br s, 1H), 4.57 (br t, 1H,  $J = 6.1$  Hz), 3.94-3.60 (m, 4H), 3.60-3.42 (m, 1H), 2.39-2.19 (m, 1H), 2.12-1.84 (m, 6H), 1.72 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 172.6, 166.8, 153.1, 127.9, 124.4, 79.9, 58.3, 52.0, 47.9, 29.6, 28.2, 24.9, 19.5, 18.9. Hydrolysis in CH3OH/H2O (4:1, 20 mL) and LiOH $\cdot$ H<sub>2</sub>O (78.3 mg, 1.8 mmol) and heating at 50 °C for 2.5 h provided **27** (281 mg, 79%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 6.17 (br s, 1H), 4.70-4.56 (br m, 1H), 3.79- 3.48 (m, 2H), 2.41-2.07 (br m, 2H), 2.04-1.90 (m, 2H), 1.79 (s, 3H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H); 13C NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 172.7, 168.3, 153.4, 125.9, 124.0, 80.9, 59.6, 48.5, 28.8, 28.2, 24.6, 19.8, 18.6.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-D-valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-prolinamide (28).** Acid **26** (1.0 g, 3.18 mmol) was coupled to **3** (816 mg, 3.18 mmol) via method I to yield **28** (1.4 g, 85%) as a white solid: 1H NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 8.02 \text{ (d, 1H, } J = 8 \text{ Hz, NH}), 7.8 \text{ (br d, }$ 0.5H, NH), 5.3 (br d, 0.5H, NH), 5.18 (dd, 0.5H,  $J = 8.6, 4.6$ Hz), 4.91 (dd, 1 H,  $J = 7.6$ , 4.3 Hz), 4.68 (dd, 0.5H,  $J = 7.8$ , 6.2 Hz), 4.26 (m, 1H), 3.85 and 3.65 (pr m, 2H, CH2N), 2.55- 1.7 (series of m, 6H,  $\beta$ -CH of Val, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.1-0.82 (m, 12H,  $4 \times CH_3$ ); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.12 (s, CF<sub>3</sub>),  $-121.31$  and  $-122.75$  (AB quartet,  $J = 296$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)dehydrovalyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-prolinamide (29a,b).** A stirred solution of **27** (280 mg, 0.90 mmol) and **3** (229 mg, 0.90 mmol) were coupled using method I to provide, after flash chromatography, diastereomers **29b** (higher *Rf*, 84.7 mg, 18%) and **29a** (lower *Rf*, 67.7 mg, 15%) as amorphous solids. **29a**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.99 (d, 1H,  $J = 6.9$  Hz), 6.12 (s, 1H), 4.97 (t, 1H,  $J = 7.6$  Hz), 4.67 (dd, 1H,  $J = 8.2$ , 4.4 Hz), 3.58-3.38 (m, 2H), 2.46-2.05 (m, 4H), 1.97-1.83 (m, 2H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.72 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.00 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz), 0.97 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.9$  Hz); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -79.25, -82.13, -121.90,  $-122.11$ . **29b**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.00 (d, 1H,  $J = 6.9$  Hz), 6.05 (s, 1H), 4.82 (t, 1H,  $J = 7.0$  Hz), 4.68 (dd, 1H,  $J = 11.9$ , 3.8 Hz), 3.68-3.55 (m, 1H), 3.55-3.42 (m, 1H), 2.48-2.25 (m, 2H), 2.14-1.86 (m, 3H), 1.72 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.01 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.7$  Hz), 0.93 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.9$  Hz); <sup>19</sup>F NMR  $(CDCl_3)$   $\delta$  -79.35, -82.09, -121.99, -122.03.

**D-Valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-prolinamide Hydrochloride Salt (30).** Treatment of **28** (1.3 g, 2.5 mmol) as described in general procedure I afforded **30** (964 mg, 85%) as a white foam.

**Dehydrovalyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-prolinamide Hydrochloride Salt (31a,b).** A solution of **29b** (67.7 mg, 0.132 mmol) was treated as described in general procedure I to afford **31b**. The synthesis of **31a** was carried out in the same fashion starting with **29a**.

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-D-valyl-***N***- (1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-prolinamide (32).** A solution of **30** (960 mg, 2.12 mmol) in  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  (20 mL) was treated as described in general procedure II to afford **32** (900 mg, 67%) as an amorphous solid: IR (KBr) 3427, 3313, 3053, 2968, 2935, 2897, 2877, 1751, 1635, 1533, 1435, 1394, 1327, 1302, 1280, 1261, 1222, 1197, 1161, 1114, 1068, 1026, 1014, 918, 896, 862, 842, 785, 738, 655, 597 cm-1; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 7.9-7.7 and 7.52-7.40 (pr m, 5H, aryl and NH), 6.83 (m, 1H, NH), 4.93 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH of Val), 4.7 (m, 2H,  $\alpha$ -CH of Val and CH of Pro), 4.08-3.25 (series of m, 10 H), 2.55-1.82 (series of m, 6H), 1.16-0.70 (m, 12H,  $4 \times CH_3$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  172.1, 170.8, 170.6, 169.3, 169.2, 166.6, 166.4, 138.6, 138.5, 134.7, 127.4, 127.36, 127.34, 127.2, 66.83, 66.80, 59.9, 59.7, 59.5, 59.3, 58.9, 57.1, 56.8, 55.93, 55.90, 52.2, 48.1, 48.0, 47.6, 47.2, 42.5, 31.7, 31.1, 31.0, 29.1, 28.8, 28.7, 27.5, 27.3, 24.67, 24.61, 24.4, 19.7, 19.5, 19.4, 18.5, 18.3, 17.6, 17.5, 16.4, 16.3; 19F NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* -82.10, -82.15 (2s, CF<sub>3</sub>),  $-121.39$ ,  $-122.69$  (AB quartet,  $J = 298$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>) also

 $-121.96$  (s); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>) *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 661 (M<sup>+</sup> + 29), 634, 633 (MH+), 446, 333, 317 (100), 305, 237, 218, 193, 144, 130. Anal.  $(C_{29}H_{37}F_5N_4O_6)$  C, H, N.

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]dehydrovalyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-prolinamide (33a,b).** A solution of **31b** (0.132 mmol) was treated as described in general procedure II to give **33b** (38.1 mg, 47%) as a colorless glass: IR (KBr) 3435, 3298, 3048, 2972, 1753, 1638, 1528, 1497, 1437, 1319, 1302, 1281, 1260, 1223, 1198, 1117 cm-1; 1H NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 8.90 (s, 1H), 8.05-7.75 (m, 3H),  $7.54 - 7.33$  (m, 2H), 4.97 (t, 1H,  $J = 7.5$  Hz), 4.66 (dd, 1H,  $J =$ 8.1, 4.0 Hz), 4.03-3.18 (br m, 4H), 2.46-2.09 (m, 3H), 2.09- 1.87 (m, 2H), 1.77 (s, 3H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 0.96 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.6$ Hz), 0.84 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.7$  Hz); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.02, -121.91, -121.95; MS (CI/CH4) *<sup>m</sup>*/*<sup>z</sup>* 631 (MH+), 317 (base peak). Anal.  $(C_{29}H_{35}F_5N_4O_6.02 H_2O)$  C, H, N.

The same procedure as above, using **31a** as the starting material, provided **33a** (53.6 mg, 52%) as a colorless glass: IR (KBr) 3435, 3295, 2972, 1753, 1640, 1528, 1497, 1437, 1302, 1280, 1223, 1198, 1117 cm-1; 1H NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 9.12 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, 2H,  $J = 8.4$  Hz), 7.60 (d, 1H,  $J = 9.0$  Hz), 7.44 (d, 2H,  $J = 8.4$  Hz), 5.00 (dd, 1H,  $J = 8.3$ , 5.6 Hz), 4.61 (dd, 1H,  $J =$ 8.1, 4.5 Hz), 4.02-3.18 (br m, 4H), 2.51-2.08 (m, 3H), 2.85- 2.08 (m, 2H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.58 (s, 3H), 1.00 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.8$ Hz), 0.94 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.9$  Hz); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -81.97,  $-121.89$ ; MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>)  $m/z$  631 (MH<sup>+</sup>), 317 (base peak). Anal.  $(C_{29}H_{35}F_5N_4O_6.0.4 H_2O)$  C, H, N.

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]dehydrovalyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-prolinamide (33c).** The  $D$ , L-mixture at  $P_1$ , **33**, was carried through the same sequence of reactions to provide **33c** (600 mg, 54%) as an amorphous solid after flash chromatography (eluted with 1:27 MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.19 (s, 0.5H, NH), 8.02-7.93 (m, 1H, NH), 7.87 (m, 2H, aryl), 7.68  $(d, 0.5H, J = 8 Hz, NH$ , 4.97 (dd, 1H,  $J = 9, 7 Hz$ ), 4.68 (2dd, 1H, ratio 3:2,  $J = 8$ , 4 Hz), 3.9-3.3 (series of m, 10H), 2.4-1.9 (series of m, 5H), 1.77 (m, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.66 (d, 3H,  $J = 9$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.97 (m, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.85 (d, 3H,  $J = 7$  Hz); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.10 (s, CF<sub>3</sub>), -121.99 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz), -122.03 (overlapping s); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>)  $m/z$  659, 631 (MH<sup>+</sup>), 357, 345, 317 (base peak), 297, 84, 70. Anal.  $(C_{29}H_{35}F_5N_4O_6 \cdot 0.6H_2O)$ C, H, N.

*trans***-4-Benzyloxyproline Hydrochloride Salt (38).** HCl (g) was bubbled for 2 min into an ice-cooled solution of (*tert*-butyloxycarbonyl)-*O*-benzyl-L-hydroxyproline (5.0 g, 15.6 mmol) in EtOAc (30 mL). The reaction was then stoppered, stirred at room temperature for 1 h, and conc in vacuo to provide a white solid which was triturated with  $Et_2O$  and dried under vacuum to yield **<sup>38</sup>** (3.92, 98%): mp 188-190 °C; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.1 (br s, 1H), 7.3 (m, 5H), 4.56 (s, 2H), 4.4-4.2 (m, 2H), 3.6-3.38 (m, 3H), 2.6-2.58 (m, 1H), 2.26-2.1 (m, 1H); MS  $m/z$  (rel intensity) 262 (M<sup>+</sup> + C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>),250  $(M^+ + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)$ , 222 (MH<sup>+</sup>, 100), 176, 130, 107, 91, 85, 69.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-L-azetidinecarboxylic Acid (39). Coupling Method II.** To a stirred solution of (*S*)-(-)-2-azetidinecarboxylic acid (**34**) (1.0 g, 10 mmol) and Et3N (1.5 mL, 11 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) was added **2** (2.8 g, 9.0 mmol), and the reaction mixture was heated to 120 °C for 2.5 h. Upon cooling the reaction mixture was conc in vacuo, and the oily residue was dissolved in EtOAc, washed with 1 N HCl  $(2 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ , dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and conc to afford **39** (1.88) g, 65%) as an amorphous solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 9.15 (br s, 1H), 5.09 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, NH), 5.00 (dd, 1H,  $J$  $= 9.2$ , 7.2 Hz,  $\alpha$ -CH of Val), 4.43 (dd, 1H,  $J = 7.0$ , 1.49 Hz), 4.17 (m, 1H), 3.94 (app t, 1H,  $J = 8$  Hz), 2.62 (m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu), 0.97 (d, 6H,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, 2  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-D,L-pipecolinic Acid (40).** Prepared by method II using d,L-pipecolinic acid (**35**) (1.30 g, 10 mmol) to afford **40** (579 mg, 29%), a mixture of two enantiomers, as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.94 (br s, 1H), 5.62 (d, 1H,  $J = 9.8$  Hz, NH), 5.45 (d, 0.5H,  $J = 3.98$  Hz), 5.07 (d, 0.5H,  $J = 9.25$ , D-Pec), 4.60 (dd, 0.5H,  $J = 8.83$ , 5.06 Hz,  $\alpha$ -CH of Val), 4.25 (dd, 0.5H,  $J = 8.69$ , 4.66 Hz, Val of D-compd), 3.93 (app d, 1H,  $J = 12.47$  Hz, Pec), 3.25 (m, 1H, Pec), 2.31 (m, 1H, Pec), 2.20 (m, 0.5H, Val of D-compd), 2.01 (m, 0.5H, Val), 1.78-1.33 (m, 5H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu),  $1.01-0.86$  (m, 6H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-***trans***-4-hydroxyproline (41).** *trans*-4-Hydroxy-L-proline (1.31 g, 10 mmol) was treated as described in method II to give **41** (1.85 g, 56%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 5.70-5.25 (m, 1H, NH), 5.05 (m, 1H), 4.80-3.95 (m, 4H), 3.85-2.80 (series of m, 3H), 2.35-1.80 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.01- 0.95 (m, 6H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>)  $m/z$  (rel intensity) 331 (MH+), 303, 275 (100), 259, 231, 217, 172, 162, 144, 132, 116, 86, 72.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-***trans***-4-benzyloxyproline (42).** *trans*-4-Benzyloxy-L-proline, hydrochloride salt (**38**) (2.57 g, 10 mmol) was coupled to **2** (3.14 g, 10 mmol) as described in method II to give **42** (1.8 g, 42%). Crystallization (EtOAc/hexane) afforded a white solid: mp 125-128 °C; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 8.0 (br s, 1H), 7.4-7.2 (m, 5H), 5.35 (d, 1H,  $J = 9.2$  Hz, NH), 4.65 (m, 1H), 4.52 (m, 2H), 3.70 (m, 1H), 2.5-1.8 (series of m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu), 0.98 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.92 (d,, 3H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-azetidinamide (43).** Acid **39** (1.80 g, 6.0 mmol) was couple to **3** (1.54 g, 6.0 mmol) via method I to give **44** (2.20 g, 73%) as a colorless oil: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 8.4 (m, 1H, NH), 5.12-4.90 (m, 2H), 4.38 (m, 1H), 4.11 (m, 1H), 4.00 (m, 1H), 2.73 (m, 1H), 2.42 (2m, 2H), 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.45 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.11-0.85 (m, 12H, 4  $\times$ CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.14 (s, CF<sub>3</sub>), -120.99 and  $-123.09, -121.23$  and  $-122.84$  (2AB quartets,  $J = 296$  Hz,  $CF<sub>2</sub>$ ).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-**D**,L-pipecolinamide (44).** Prepared from **40** (450 mg, 1.37 mmol) by method II to afford **44** (580 mg, 80%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 6.98 (m, 1H, NH), 5.36-4.88 (m, 3H), 4.60 (m, 1H), 4.43 (m, 1H), 3.92 (m, 1H), 3.10 (m, 1H, CH of Pec), 2.36- 1.92 (series of m, 3H, Pec and CH of Val), 1.79-1.24 (m, 4H), 1.45 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.05-0.83 (m, 12H, 4  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* -82.10 (s, CF3), -120.74, -123.40 (m, CF2).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-***trans***-4-hydroxyprolinamide (45).** Acid **41** (1.80 g, 5.60 mmol) was coupled by method II to yield **45** (1.44 g, 48%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.98 (br d, 0.5H,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, NH), 7.73  $(\text{br } d, 0.5H, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, NH), 5.47 \text{ (br } d, 1H, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, NH),$ 5.04-4.95 (m, 1H), 4.76-4.67 (m 1H), 4.49 (br s, 1H), 4.23 (m, 1H), 3.94 (br d, 1H,  $J = 10.8$  Hz), 3.66-3.59 (m, 1H), 2.50-1.98 (series of m, 4H), 1.42 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.09-0.88 (m, 12H, 4 × CH3); 13C NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 173.9, 173.2, 170.6, 170.4, 156.3, 156.2, 80.5, 80.4, 77.4, 77.2, 77.0, 76.5, 70.0, 69.8, 59.7, 59.5, 58.2, 57.7, 57.6, 55.7, 55.5, 35.7, 34.7, 31.0, 30.9, 29.0, 28.6, 28.3, 28.2, 20.2, 19.9, 19.3, 19.7, 18.3, 18.2, 16.4, 16.1; 19F NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* -82.17 (s, CF3), -82.18 (s, CF3), -121.37 and -122.92 (AB quartet,  $J = 296$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>),  $-121.6$  and  $-122.8$ (AB quartet,  $\dot{J} = 296$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>)  $m/z$  (rel intensity)  $549 \text{ (MNH}_4^+, 12), 532 \text{ (MH}^+, 54), 482 \text{ (11)}, 330 \text{ (15)}, 245 \text{ (100)},$ 189 (15).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-***trans***-4-benzyloxyprolinamide (46).** Acid **22** (1.40 g, 3.30 mmol) was coupled to **3** (840 mg, 3.30 mmol) via method I to yield **46** (2.0 g, 95%) as a white solid: mp 91-93 °C; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 7.96 (0.5H, NH), 7.33 (m, 5H), 5.28 (m, 1H, NH), 4.95 (m, 1H), 4.83 (m, 1H), 4.70 (t, 0.5H), 4.54 (q, 2H, CH2Ph), 4.30 (m, 2H), 4.07- 3.9 (m, 1H), 3.60 (m, 1 H), 2.68 (dt, 0.5H), 2.49 (dt, 0.5H), 2.33 (m, 1H), 2.2-1.88 (m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.09-0.86 (m, 12H,  $4 \times CH_3$ ).

**L-Valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-azetamide Hydrochloride Salt (47).** Pentafluoroethyl ketone **43** (2.0 g, 3.98 mmol) was treated as described in general procedure I to afford **47** (1.63 g, 96%) as an amorphous solid: IR (film) 3196, 2972, 2937, 2883, 2636, 1755, 1655; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 8.09 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, NH), 8.02

(d, 1H,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, NH), 5.15 (m, 1H), 5.00 (m, 1H), 4.55 (m, 1H), 4.13 (m, 1H), 3.90 (m, 1H), 2.56 (m, 2H), 2.30 (m, 3H), 1.12-0.84 (m, 12H, 4 <sup>×</sup> CH3); 13C NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 193.2, 170.7, 170.4, 170.3, 169.9, 62.2, 61.5, 59.7, 59.5, 55.1, 55.0, 50.1, 30.0, 29.9, 28.9, 28.7, 19.8, 19.7, 18.8, 18.5, 18.2, 18.1, 17.8, 16.6, 16.3; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.06 and -82.14 (2s, CF<sub>3</sub>), -121.16 and  $-122.76$ ,  $-121.33$  and  $-122.88$  (2AB quartets,  $J = 296$ Hz, CF2); MS (CI/CH4) *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 442 (6), 430 (18), 402 (MH<sup>+</sup>, 100), 303 (9), 72 (42). Anal.  $(C_{16}H_{24}F_5N_3O_3 \cdot HCl)$ C, H, N.

**L-Valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-D,L-pipecolinamide Hydrochloride Salt (48).** Prepared from **44** (530 mg, 1.0 mmol) as described in general procedure I to afford **48** (460 mg, 99%) as an amorphous solid.

*N***-L-Valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-***trans***-4-hydroxyprolinamide Hydrochloride Salt (49) and** *N***-L-Valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3 oxo-4-hexanyl)-***trans***-4-acetoxyprolinamide Hydrochloride Salt (50).** Pentafluoroethyl ketone **45** (1.44 g, 2.70 mmol) was treated as described in general procedure I to afford **49** and the acetoxy derivative **50** as a minor product (1.27 g, 100%) as an amorphous solid.

*N***-L-Valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-***trans***-4-benzyloxyprolinamide Hydrochloride Salt (51).** Pentafluoroethyl ketone **46** (330 mg, 0.53 mmol) was treated as described in general procedure I to afford **51** (240 mg, 81%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 8.22 (m, 2H, NH2), 7.33 (m, 5H), 5.04 (app q, 1H), 4.88 (dq, 1H), 4.52 (q, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.33 (m, 1H), 4.0-3.8 (series of m, 4H) 2.42-2.1 (m, 4H) 1.09-0.86 (m, 12H, 4  $\times$  CH<sub>2</sub>) 4H), 2.42-2.1 (m, 4 H), 1.09-0.86 (m, 12H,  $4 \times CH_3$ ).

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-L-valyl-***N***- (1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-azetamide (52).** Pentafluoroethyl ketone **47** (1.5 g, 3.43 mmol) was treated as described in general procedure II to afford **52** (335 mg, 16%) as an amorphous solid: IR (KBr) 3690, 3678, 3429, 3271, 3011, 2972, 2931, 2899, 2876, 2862, 1755, 1680, 1631; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.32 (m, 1H, NH), 7.86 (d, 2H,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, aryl), 7.49 (d, 2H,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, aryl), 6.70 (m, 1H, NH), 5.00 (m, 2H), 4.50 (m, 2H), 4.19 (m, 1H), 4.86-3.30 (series of m, 8H), 2.82-1.95 (series of m, 4H), 1.05 (m, 9H, 3  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.88 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 174.07, 174.05, 170.6, 169.2, 166.4, 138.66, 138.62, 135.0, 134.9, 127.4, 127.3, 66.8, 66.7, 62.0, 61.7, 59.7, 59.6, 54.1, 54.0, 49.3, 31.5, 31.4, 28.7, 28.5, 20.0, 19.0, 18.8, 18.2, 18.1, 18.09, 18.05, 16.1, 16.0; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.12 (s, CF<sub>3</sub>), -120.98 and  $-123.12$ ,  $-121.20$  and  $-122.86$  (2AB quartets,  $J = 296$ Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>) *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 647, 619 (MH<sup>+</sup>), 303 (100), 289, 218. Anal.  $(C_{28}H_{35}F_5N_4O_6.0.3 H_2O)$  C, H, N.

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-L-valyl-***N***- (1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-D,L-pipecolinamide (53).** Prepared from **48** (450 mg, 10.0 mmol) as described in general procedure II to afford **53** (270 mg, 42%) as an amorphous solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.95-7.76 (m, 2H, aryl), 7.58-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.20-6.86 (m, 2H, aryl), 5.40-4.30 (m, 4H), 4.20-3.20 (m, 10H,  $2 \times \text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O}$  and NCH2 of Pro), 2.60-1.95 (m, 3H), 1.90-1.82 (m, 4H), 1.25- 0.75 (m, 12H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -81.97 (m, CF<sub>3</sub>), -121.82 and -119.87 (m, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>)  $m/z$  (rel intensity) 647 (MH+), 564, 536, 474, 428, 363, 331 (100), 317, 289, 246, 218, 186, 158, 104, 84, 72.

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-L-valyl-***N***- (1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-***trans***-4 hydroxyprolinamide (54) and** *N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl) carbonyl]benzoyl]-L-valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5 methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-***trans***-4-acetoxyprolinamide (55).** Prepared from **49** and **50** (800 mg, 1.71 mmol) as described in general procedure II to afford **55** (higher *Rf* fractions, 90 mg, 7.6%) as an amorphous solid and  $54$  (lower  $R_f$  fractions, 160) mg, 14.5%) also as an amorphous solid. **54**: 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.82 (m, 2H, aryl), 7.45 (d, 2H,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, aryl), 7.35 (d, 0.5H,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, NH), 6.91 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, NH), 4.99 (m, 1H), 4.84 (t, 1H), 4.72 (t, 1H), 4.68 (m, 1H), 4.55 (br s, 1H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 3.8-3.4 (br s overlapping m, 9H), 2.86-2.03 (series of m, 4H), 1.26 (m, 9H,  $3 \times \overline{CH_3}$ ), 0.96 (m, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  173.2, 172.5, 170.7, 170.4, 169.2, 167.1, 138.8, 134.9, 127.52, 127.50, 127.4, 127.3, 77.55, 77.52, 77.51, 77.46, 77.44, 77.3, 77.2, 77.1, 77.0, 76.88, 76.85, 76.6, 76.56, 76.54, 70.1, 69.9, 66.8, 59.6, 59.5, 58.5, 57.9, 57.0, 56.0, 56.0, 55.7, 36.0, 34.9, 31.42, 31.40, 31.3, 29.2, 28.8, 20.0, 19.85, 19.83, 19.4, 19.2, 18.4, 16.5, 16.4, 16.3, 16.2; 19F NMR (CDCl3)  $\delta$  -82.1, -82.15 (s, CF<sub>3</sub>), -121.31, -123.02 (AB quartet, *J* = 293 Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>),  $-121.35$ ,  $-122.82$  (AB quartet,  $J = 298$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/CH4) *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 649 (MH+), 361, 334, 333 (100), 317, 289, 218, 200, 111, 86. Anal.  $(C_{29}H_{37}F_5N_4O_7)$  C, H, N. **55**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.84 (d, 2H,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, aryl), 7.72 (0.5H,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, NH), 7.47 (d, 2H,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, aryl), 6.74 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, NH), 5.36 (m, 1H), 5.00 (m, 1H), 4.83 (dd, 0.5H,  $J = 8.6$ , 7.2 Hz), 4.74 (dd, 1H,  $J = 8.0$ , 7.2 Hz), 4.69 (t, 0.5H), 4.08 (br d, 1H), 3.9-3.3 (br s overlapping m, 9H), 2.81 (m, 0.5H), 2.64 (m, 0.5H), 2.41-2.06 (series of m, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H, OCH3), 1.02 (m, 9H, 3 × CH3), 0.94 (m, 3H, CH3); 13C NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 173.0, 172.3, 170.4, 170.1, 169.9, 169.2, 166.3, 138.7, 127.4, 127.3, 72.5, 72.4, 66.8, 59.6, 59.5, 58.5, 57.8, 56.3, 56.2, 53.2, 52.9, 34.0, 32.8, 31.8, 31.7, 31.6, 29.3, 29.2, 28.8, 24.9, 20.9, 20.0, 19.8, 19.5, 19.3, 17.95, 17.92, 17.7, 16.4, 16.2; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.1, -82.13 (s, CF<sub>3</sub>),  $-121.22, -123.06$  (AB quartet,  $J = 296$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>),  $-121.28$ , -122.86 (AB quartet,  $J = 301$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>) *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 691 (MH<sup>+</sup>), 631, 472, 444, 389, 375 (100), 349, 318, 317, 289, 264, 225, 218, 128, 100. Anal.  $(C_{31}H_{39}F_5N_4O_8)$  C, H, N.

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-L-valyl-***N***- (1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-***trans***-4 benzyloxyprolinamide (56).** Prepared from **51** (558 mg, 1.0 mmol) as described in general procedure II to afford **56** (520 mg, 70%) as an amorphous solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.84 (dd, 2H, aryl), 7.78 (d, 0.5H, NH), 7.45 (dd, 2H, aryl), 7.29 (m, 5H), 7.21 (d, 0.5H, NH), 6.87 (d, 1H, NH), 4.98 (m, 1H), 4.82 (m, 1H), 4.69 (t, 0.5H), 4.54 (dq, 2H, CH2Ph), 4.31 (br s, 1H), 4.08 (dq, 1H), 3.9-3.25 (series of m, 9H), 2.69 (dt, 0.5H), 2.46 (dt, 0.5H), 2.34 (m, 1H), 2.17 (m, 1H), 1.02 (m, 9H,  $3 \times CH_3$ , 0.89 (m, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  193.1, 172.9, 172.2, 170.7, 170.4, 169.3, 166.2, 138.4, 137.5, 137.4, 135.2, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 127.8, 127.78, 127.73, 127.71, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 119.5, 115.76, 107.1, 106.6, 71.4, 71.2, 66.7, 59.6, 59.4, 58.7, 58.0, 56.0, 52.6, 52.3, 48.14, 48.11, 48.10, 48.0, 42.6, 42.57, 42.52, 42.4, 33.5, 32.4, 31.8, 29.1, 28.6, 20.0, 19.8, 19.4, 19.3, 17.8, 17.6, 16.3, 16.1; 19F NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* -82.10, -82.13 (s, CF3), -121.3, -122.9 and -121.4, -122.8 (AB quartet, *<sup>J</sup>*  $= 296$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>) *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 767 (M<sup>+</sup> + 29), 740 (10), 739 (MH+, 27), 632 (11), 520 (7), 492 (5), 424 (18), 423 (100), 403 (3), 345 (5), 317 (30), 289 (4), 218 (3), 176  $(11)$ , 91 (2). Anal.  $(C_{36}H_{43}F_5N_4O_7 \cdot 0.4 H_2O)$  C, H, N.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-L-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic Acid (57).** Prepared from l-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic acid (1.3 g, 10 mmol) using coupling method II to afford **57**  $(2.27 \text{ g}, 68\%)$  as an amorphous solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 7.95 (br s, 1H), 5.57 (app t, 0.5H), 5.39 (d, 0.5H, *<sup>J</sup>* ) 9.5 Hz), 5.11 (t, 1H,  $J = 5.6$  Hz), 4.94 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.6$  Hz), 4.55 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.3$  Hz), 4.35 (m, 1H), 3.29 (d, 1H,  $J = 5.6$  Hz), 2.30-1.54 (series of m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.04-0.92 (m, 6H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-thiazolidamide (58).** Prepared from **57** (2.27 g, 6.8 mmol) using coupling method I to give **58** (3.28 g, 92%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.52 (br d, 1H,  $J = 8$  Hz, NH), 5.27 (m, 1H, NH), 5.00 (m, 2H), 4.51 (m, 1H), 4.38 (m, 1H), 3.64-3.38 (pr m, 1H), 3.12 (m, 1H), 2.40-1.84 (series of m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu),  $1.15-0.84$  (m, 12H,  $4 \times CH_3$ ).

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-L-valyl-***N***- (1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-L-thiazolidamide (59).** The HCl salt was prepared from **58** (3.2 g, 6.0 mmol) using general procedure I to afford an amorphous solid (2.68 g, 96%): 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 8.18 (m, 2H, NH2), 5.36 (m, 1H), 5.12 (m, 1H), 4.95 (m, 1H), 4.58 (app t, 1H), 4.40 (m, 1H), 3.42 (m, 1H), 3.20 (m, 1H), 2.39 (m, 3H), 1.30-0.84 (m, 12H,  $4 \times CH_3$ ); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.0 (2s, ratio 1:3,  $CF_3$ ),  $-120.0$  and  $-123.8$  (overlapping AB quartets, ratio 1:3,  $CF<sub>2</sub>$ ).

The HCl salt was treated as described in general procedure II to afford **59** (275 mg, 10%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.85 (m, 2H, aryl), 7.49 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.9 Hz, aryl), 7.04 (m, 1H, NH), 5.08 (m, 2H), 4.92 (m, 1H), 4.60 (pr d, 1H,  $J = 9$  Hz), 4.25 (br d, 0.5H,  $J = 11$  Hz), 3.88-3.30 (series of m, 7H), 3.14 (m, 1H), 2.34 (m, 1H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 1.92 (pr m, 1H), 1.64 (m, 1H), 1.32 (m, 1H), 1.05 (m, 9H, 3  $\times$ CH3), 0.87 (m, 3H, CH3); 13C NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 172.1, 171.7, 169.3, 169.25, 169.20, 166.5, 156.6, 138.6, 134.97, 134.94, 127.4, 127.3, 127.2, 66.8, 62.5, 61.7, 59.5, 59.4, 58.7, 56.3, 56.2, 50.2, 49.9, 49.1, 48.17, 48.15, 48.12, 48.08, 48.05, 48.02, 47.9, 33.9, 33.8, 31.86, 31.81, 31.1, 30.9, 30.5, 29.1, 29.0, 25.6, 24.9, 20.0, 19.9, 19.7, 19.6, 19.5, 19.4, 19.0, 18.1, 17.8, 17.7, 16.3, 16.2, 16.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.10 (s, CF<sub>3</sub>), -121.22 and  $-122.88$  (AB quartets,  $J = 296$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>)  $m/z$  (rel intensity) 679, 651 (MH<sup>+</sup>), 536, 416, 335, 317 (100), 225, 214.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-D,L-tetrahydroisoquinoline-1-carboxylic Acid (60).** A stirred solution of D,Ltetrahydro-1-isoquinolinecarboxylic acid hydrochloride salt (2.1 g, 10 mmol) was coupled to **2** (2.0 g, 6 mmol) via method II to give **60** (484 mg, 13%) as an isomeric mixture amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 7.2 (m, 4H), 5.6 (d, 0.25H,  $J = 8$  Hz, NH), 5.4 (d, 0.75H,  $J = 8$  Hz, NH), 5.38 (m, 1H), 5.1-4.4 (series of m, 3H), 3.5-3.05 (series of m, 2H), 2.08 and 1.93 (pr of m, 1H, ratio 2:1, l/d), 1.4 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.1-0.8 (m, 6H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-D,L-tetrahydroisoquinolinecarboxamide (61).** Prepared from **60** (450 mg, 1.2 mmol) by method I to give **61** (641 mg, 93%) as a colorless oil: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 7.23 (m, 4H), 5.5 (m, 0.25H, NH), 5.45- 4.15 (series of m, 6H), 4.1-3.75 (series of m, 1H), 3.5-2.9 (series of m, 2H), 2.4-1.7 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.12- 0.63 (m, 12H,  $4 \times CH_3$ ); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.01 (s, CF<sub>3</sub>),  $-120.5$  to  $-123.6$  (overlapping AB quartets, CF<sub>2</sub>).

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-L-valyl-***N***- (1,1,1,2,2,-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)-D,L-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolinecarboxamide (62a) and -L-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolinecarboxamide (62b).** The hydrochloride salt of the deprotected amine was prepared from **61** (641 mg, 1.11 mmol) using general procedure I to give an amorphous solid (385 mg, 68%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *<sup>δ</sup>* 8.26 (br m, 2H), 7.18 (m, 4H), 5.5-4.5 (series of m, 6H), 4.1- 3.7 (series of m, 1H), 3.5-2.8 (series of m, 2H), 2.3 (m, 1H), 1.9 (m, 1H), 1.2-0.7 (m, 12H, 4 <sup>×</sup> CH3); 19F NMR (CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>*  $-82.0$  (overlapping s, CF<sub>3</sub>),  $-120.5$  to  $-123.7$  (overlapping AB quartets,  $\mathrm{CF}_2$ ).

Using general procedure II the hydrochloride salt of the deprotected amine from **61** (385 mg, 0.749 mmol) gave **62a** (95 mg, 18%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 7.84 (m, 2H, aryl), 7.46 (m, 2H, aryl), 7.2 (m, 4H), 7.1 (m, 1H, NH), 6.83 (d, 0.5H,  $J = 9$  Hz, NH), 6.54 (d, 0.5H,  $J = 9$  Hz, NH),  $5.45 - 4.6$  (series of m, 5H),  $3.9 - 2.9$  (series of m, 10H), 2.4-2.1 (pr of overlapping m, 2H), 1.2-0.65 (m, 12H,  $4 \times CH_3$ ); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.13 (app t, CF<sub>3</sub>), -121.3 and  $-123.1$  (AB quartet,  $J = 296$  Hz, overlapping m, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/ CH4) *m*/*z* 723, 695 (MH+), 578, 550, 549, 476, 379, 317, 289, 259, 234 (100), 220, 200.

The L-analogue was prepared using the same sequence to yield **62b** (77 mg, 40%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 7.87 (m, 2H, aryl), 7.47 (m, 2H, aryl), 7.22 (m, 4H), 7.06 (m, 1H, NH), 6.82 (d, 0.5H,  $J = 9$  Hz, NH), 6.54 (d, 0.5H,  $J = 9$  Hz, NH),  $5.5 - 4.54$  (series of m, 5H),  $3.9 - 2.98$ (series of m, 10H), 2.4-2.1 (pr of overlapping m, 2H), 1.2- 0.65 (m, 12H,  $4 \times CH_3$ ); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.13 (app t,  $CF<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $-121.3$  and  $-123.1$ ,  $-121.34$  and  $-122.99$  (overlapping AB quartets,  $J = 296$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>) *m*/*z* 723, 695 (MH+), 562, 550, 536, 522, 476, 393, 379, 363, 331, 317, 289, 262, 246, 234, 218, 206 (100), 186, 149, 139, 132. Anal.  $(C_{34}H_{39}F_5N_4O_6)$  C, H, N.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valylnipecotic Acid (63).** Nipecotic acid (1.29 g, 10 mmol) was coupled to **2** (3.14 g, 10 mmol) via method II to give **63** (2.96 g, 90%), a mixture of diastereomers, as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 6.10 (br s, 1H), 5.61 (m, 1H, NH), 5.48 (m, 1H), 4.65 (m, 1H), 4.48 (m, 1H), 4.30-2.80 (m, 1H), 3.42-2.35 (series of m, 2H), 2.16 (m, 1H), 2.00-1.30 (m, 4H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu),  $1.01-0.84$  (m, 6H, 2  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valylisonipecotic Acid (64).** Isonipecotic acid (1.29 g, 10 mmol) was coupled to **2** (3.14 g, 10 mmol) via method II to give **64** (1.2 g, 36%), a mixture of diastereomers, as a yellow oil: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 5.54 (d, 0.75H,  $J = 9$  Hz, NH), 5.04 (d, 0.25H,  $J = 9$  Hz, NH), 4.55-4.20 (series of m, 2H), 3.95 (m, 1H), 3.22 (m, 1H), 2.93 (m, 1H), 2.61 (m, 1H), 2.3-1.57 (series of m, 5H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu),  $1.02-0.87$  (m, 6H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ).

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)nipecotamide (65).** Acid **63** (820 mg, 2.50 mmol) was coupled to **3** (640 mg, 2.50 mmol) via method I to give **65** (920 mg, 71%) as an amorphous solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.25 (m, 1H, NH), 5.45–4.85 (m, 2H), 4.75-4.15 (m, 4H), 3.80-3.05 (m, 4H), 2.60-2.20 (series of m, 4H), 2.10-1.65 (m, 3H), 1.45 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.12-0.75 (m, 12H,  $4 \times CH_3$ ).

*N***-L-Valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)nipecotamide Hydrochloride Salt (66).** Pentafluoroethyl ketone **65** (920 mg, 1.74 mmol) was treated as described in general procedure I to afford **66** (800 mg, 99%) as an amorphous solid.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)isonipecotamide (67).** Acid **64** (950 mg, 2.9 mmol) and NMM (0.32 mL, 2.9 mmol) were coupled via method I to hydrochloride **3** (737 mg, 2.9 mmol) to give **67** (1.32 g, 86%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 5.98 (m, 1H), 5.34 (m, 1H), 5.13 (m, 1H), 4.75- 4.25 (m, 2H), 4.12-3.82 (m, 2H), 3.55-2.25 (series of m, 2H), 2.1-1.55 (series of m, 6H), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.12-0.75 (m, 12H,  $4 \times CH_3$ ); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -82.02 (s, CF<sub>3</sub>), -121.1 and  $-122.8$  (AB quartet,  $J = 310$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>).

*N***-L-Valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)isonipecotamide Hydrochloride Salt (68).** A stirred solution of **67** (1.3 g, 2.45 mmol) was treated as in general procedure I to afford **68** (1.11 g, 97%) as an amorphous solid.

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-L-valyl-***N***- (1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)nipecotamide (69).** A solution of **66** (800 mg, 1.77 mmol) was treated as described in general procedure II to afford **69** (300 mg, 26%) as an amorphous solid: 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *<sup>δ</sup>* 7.92- 7.70 (m, 2H, aryl), 7.55-7.39 (m, 2H), 5.18-4.82 (m, 2H), 4.76-4.20 (m, 1H), 4.00-2.90 (m, 11H), 2.60-1.50 (m, 9H), 1.18-0.80 (m, 12H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -82.07, -82.11 (m, CF3), -120.46 and -123.92 (m, CF2); MS (CI/CH4) *<sup>m</sup>*/*<sup>z</sup>* <sup>647</sup> (MH+), 576, 526, 465, 447, 430, 412, 331 (100), 305, 301, 289, 273, 262, 218, 200.

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-L-valyl-***N***- (1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-5-methyl-3-oxo-4-hexanyl)isonipecotamide (70).** A stirred solution of **68** (800 mg, 1.77 mmol) was treated as in general procedure II to afford **70** (300 mg, 26%) as an amorphous solid: IR (KBr) 3427, 3323, 3049, 3034, 2968, 2933, 2874, 1753, 1631, 1527, 1454 cm-1; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.87 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.8 Hz, aryl), 7.47 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.1 Hz, aryl), 7.12 (dd, 1H,  $J = 8.5$ , 3.9 Hz, NH), 6.09 (d overlapping t, 1H,  $J = 8.6$ , 5.3 Hz), 5.13 (dd, 1H,  $J = 8.3$ , 4.1 Hz), 5.05 (dd, 1H,  $J = 8.6$ , 5.3 Hz), 4.53 (m, 1H), 4.12 (br t, 1H,  $J = 16.4$  Hz),  $3.86 - 3.10$  (series of m, 10H), 2.78 (m, 1H), 2.55-2.27 (pr of overlapping m, 2H), 2.16-1.55 (series of m, 4H),  $1.12 - 0.8$  (m,  $12H, 4 \times CH_3$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  173.9, 173.6, 170.1, 170.0, 169.96, 169.91, 169.3, 166.4, 166.3, 138.3, 135.6, 135.5, 127.4, 127.2, 127.1, 66.8, 58.8, 58.7, 48.16, 48.12, 48.09, 48.05, 48.02, 45.3, 44.8, 42.8, 42.7, 42.6, 42.5, 42.4, 42.3, 41.6, 41.2, 31.9, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 28.9, 28.7, 28.6, 28.4, 28.3, 28.1, 19.9, 19.8, 19.7, 17.4, 17.2, 17.2, 16.3; 19F NMR (CDCl3)  $\delta$  -82.08 (s, CF<sub>3</sub>), -121.14 and -122.9, -121.17 and -122.85 (overlapping AB quartet,  $J = 293$ , 296 Hz,  $CF_2$ ); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>) *m*/*z* 687, 675, 647 (MH+), 412, 332, 331 (100), 289, 231, 220, 218, 200, 178. Anal.  $(C_{30}H_{39}F_5N_4O_6.0.3H_2O)$  C, H, N.

**Methyl (***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-L-prolyl-L-valinate (71).** *N*-Boc-L-valyl-L-proline (from Advanced ChemTech; 3.1 g, 0.01 mol) was coupled to L-valine methyl ester hydrochloride (1.67 g, 0.01 mol; Aldrich) via method I to afford **71** (4.27 g, 100%) as an amorphous solid:  $R_f = 0.33$  in 3:1 Et<sub>2</sub>O/ hexane; IR (KBr) *ν*max 3553, 3537, 3520, 3510, 3310, 2968, 2935, 2876, 1741, 1687, 1631, 1527, 1440, 1390, 1367, 1338, 1309, 1244, 1203, 1172, 1114 cm-1; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 7.22 (br d, 1H,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, NH), 5.24 (br d, 1H,  $J = 11.0$  Hz, NH), 4.62 (dd, 1H,  $J = 8.2$ , 2.9 Hz, CH of Pro), 4.43 (app dd, 1H,  $J = 8.6$ , 5.1 Hz,  $\alpha$ -CH of Val), 4.30 (dd, 1H,  $J = 9.5$ , 6.4 Hz, CH of Val) 3.75-3.70 and 3.63-3.59 (pr m, 2H, CH2N), 3.70 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.40 (m, 1H, *<sup>â</sup>*-CH of Val), 2.17-1.91 (m, 5H, CH2CH2 and *â*-CH of Val), 1.43 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.00 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.95-0.90 (m, 9H, 3  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 172.5, 172.1, 170.9, 155.8, 79.5, 77.4, 77.1, 76.9, 76.8, 76.5, 59.9, 57.5, 56.7, 52.0, 47.6, 31.4, 31.0, 28.3, 28.2, 27.1, 25.1, 19.5, 18.9, 17.8, 17.3; MS (CI/CH4) *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 428  $(MH^+$ , 22), 372 (68), 328 (100). Anal.  $(C_{21}H_{37}N_3O_6)$  C, H, N.

**(***tert***-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-***N***-(1,1,1,2,2,3,3-heptafluoro-6-methyl-4-oxo-5-heptanyl)prolinamide (72).** To a solution of methyl ester **71** (3.8 g, 9.0 mmol) in anhydrous  $Et_2O$ (100 mL) at  $-78$  °C was added, dropwise, under N<sub>2</sub>, CF<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>-CF2I (6.6 mL, 48.0 mmol; from Aldrich; stabilized with Cu). To this mixture was added dropwise MeLi $\cdot$ LiBr (1.5 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 28.5 mL, 42.0 mmol) at a rate which maintained an internal reaction temperature below  $-70$  °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at  $-78$  °C for 1 h, the cold bath removed, and stirring continued for 5 min. The mixture was then poured into  $\rm H_2O$ (100 mL), the layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was acidified with 1 N HCl. The aqueous phase was then extracted with additional  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (100 mL), and the combined ethereal extracts were dried  $(MgSO<sub>4</sub>)$ . The solvent was removed in vacuo to yield a crude yellow foam which was flash chromatographed  $(3.1 \text{ Et}_2O-\text{hexane})$  to give **72** (654 mg, 13%) as an amorphous solid: IR (KBr) 3423, 3292, 2972, 2937, 2879, 2823, 2771, 2739, 2253, 1755, 1687, 1635, 1525, 1444, 1392, 1367, 1348, 1313, 1232, 1178, 1126 cm-1; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.63 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, NH), 5.44 (d, 1H,  $J = 9.2$  Hz NH), 5.02 (dd, 1 H,  $J = 7.8$ , 4.5 Hz, CH of Val), 4.64 (dd, 1H,  $J = 8.0, 3.0$  Hz, CH of Pro), 4.30 (dd, 1H,  $J = 9.2, 6.8$  Hz,  $\alpha$ -CH of Val), 3.80-3.74 and 3.66-3.60 (pr of m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.31 $-1.92$  (series of m, 6H,  $\beta$ -CH of Val, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.44 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.02 (d, 3H,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.98 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.94 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.88 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, CH3); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 193.3, 193.0, 192.7, 172.9, 171.1, 155.7, 118.7, 115.8, 111.3, 108.9, 108.6, 108.2, 105.9, 79.6, 77.3, 77.2, 76.9, 76.6, 59.7, 59.3, 56.8, 47.8, 31.4, 29.0, 28.3, 26.9, 25.1, 19.9, 19.8, 19.7, 19.5, 19.4, 17.5, 17.4, 16.3, 16.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -80.91 (t, CF<sub>3</sub>), -119.03 and  $-120.43$  (AB quartet,  $J = 297$  Hz, CF<sub>2</sub>),  $-126.62$  (s, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/CH4) *m*/*z* (rel intensity) 566 (MH+, 100); HRMS  $(C_{23}H_{34}F_7N_3O_5)$  (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 566.2492, obsd 566.2475.

*N***-[4-[(4-Morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl]-L-valyl-***N***- (1,1,1,2,2,3,3-heptafluoro-6-methyl-4-oxo-5-heptanyl)prolinamide (73).** The Boc protection was removed from **72** using general procedure I to give the HCl salt (185 mg, 0.37 mmol), and 4-[(4-morpholinyl)carbonyl]benzoyl chloride was added as described in general procedure II to afford crude **73** (260 mg) as a white foam. This was flash chromatographed  $(2 - \times 15$ -cm column eluted with 1:27 MeOH-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) to give **73** (162 mg, 64%) as an amorphous solid: IR (KBr) 3431, 3323, 3049, 2970, 2935, 2877, 1755, 1693, 1631, 1529, 1437, 1394, 1346, 1300, 1278, 1259, 1232, 1161, 1118, 1068 cm-1; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.86 (d, 2H,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, aryl), 7.52 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, NH), 7.46 (d, 2H,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, aryl), 7.12 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, NH), 5.04 (dd, 1H,  $J = 8.2$ , 4.2 Hz, α-CH of Val), 4.84 (dd, 1H,  $J = 8.6$ , 7.3 Hz,  $\alpha$ -CH of Val), 4.62 (dd, 1H,  $J = 7.9$ , 2.9 Hz, CH of Pro), 3.94-3.37 (m, 10H, 2  $\times$  NCH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>O and NCH<sub>2</sub> of Pro), 2.29–1.97 (series of m, 6H,  $2 \times \beta$ -CH of Val and CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.06 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.01 (d, 6H,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, 2  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.86 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 172.2, 170.9, 169.2, 166.3, 138.5, 135.1, 127.4, 127.3, 77.4, 77.1, 76.9, 76.5, 66.7, 59.9, 59.3, 55.9, 47.9, 31.8,

29.1, 27.0, 25.1, 19.8, 19.5, 17.8, 16.2; 19F NMR (470.2 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -80.24 (t,  $J = 9$  Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), -118.39 and -119.87 (dq,  $J = 295$ , 9 Hz, COCF<sub>2</sub>),  $-125.99$  (AB m, CF<sub>2</sub>); MS (CI/CH<sub>4</sub>)  $m/z$  (rel intensity) 683 (MH<sup>+</sup>, 59), 367 (100). Anal. (C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>37</sub>F<sub>7</sub>- $N4O_6 \cdot 1.3H_2O$  C, H, N.

**Acknowledgment.** We wish to thank the Analytical and Structural Sciences department for their assistance in characterization and analysis of these compounds. The authors extend their gratitude to Dr. D. Friedrich and Dr. E. Huber for  ${}^{19}F - {}^{19}F$  NOE difference spectra and homonuclear 19F decoupled spectra.

**Supporting Information Available:** Nomenclature (Figure 9) and modeling coordinates (Tables 5 and 6) for HNE inhibitor **1** (4 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

#### **References**

- (1) Garver, R. I.; Mornex, J. F.; Nukiwa, T.; Brantly, M.; Courtney, M.; Lecocq, J. P.; Crystal, R. G. Alpha<sub>1</sub>-Antitrypsin Deficiency and Emphysema Caused by Homozygous Inheritance of Nonexpressing Alpha<sub>1</sub>-Antitrypsin Genes. *New Engl. J. Med.* **1986**, 314, 762-766.
- *<sup>314</sup>*, 762-766. (2) Janus, E. D.; Phillips, N. T.; Carrell, R. W. Smoking, Lung Function and  $\alpha_1$ - Antitrypsin Deficiency. *Lancet* **1985**, 152-154.
- (3) Kuhn, C.; Senior, R. M. The Role of Elastase in the Development of Emphysema. *Lung* **1975**, *155*, 185.
- Janoff, A. Biochemical Links Between Cigarette Smoking and Pulmonary Emphysema. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **<sup>1983</sup>**, *<sup>55</sup>*, 285-293.
- (5) (a) Janoff, A.; Blondin, J. Depletion of Cartilage Matrix by a Neutral Protease Fraction of Human Leukocyte Lysosomes. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* **<sup>1970</sup>**, *<sup>135</sup>*, 302-306. (b) Janoff, A. Elastase in Tissue Injury. *Annu. Rev. Med.* **<sup>1985</sup>**, *<sup>36</sup>*, 207-216.
- (6) Hyers, T. M.; Fowler, A. A. Adult Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Causes, Morbidity, and Mortality. *Fed. Proc. Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol.* **<sup>1986</sup>**, *<sup>45</sup>*, 25-29.
- (7) Breedveld, F. C.; Lafeber, G. J. M.; Siegert, C. E. H.; Vleeming, L.-J.; Cats, A. *J. Rheumatol.* **<sup>1987</sup>**, *<sup>14</sup>*, 1008-1012.
- (8) (a) Burkhart, J. P.; Koehl, J. R.; Mehdi, S.; Durham, S. L.; Janusz, M. J.; Huber, E. W.; Angelastro, M. R.; Sunder, S.; Metz, W. A.; Shum, P. W.; Chen, T.-M.; Bey, P.; Cregge, R. J.; Peet, N. P. Inhibition of Human Neutrophil Elastase. 3. An Orally Active Enol Acetate Prodrug. *J. Med. Chem*. **<sup>1995</sup>**, *<sup>38</sup>*, 223-233. (b) Angelastro, M. R.; Baugh, L. E.; Bey, P.; Burkhart, J. P.; Chen, T.-M.; Durham, S. L.; Hare, C. M.; Huber, E. W.; Janusz, M. J.; Koehl, J. R.; Marquart, A. L.; Mehdi, S.; Peet, N. P. Inhibition of Human Neutrophil Elastase with Peptidyl Electrophilic<br>Ketones. 2. Orally Active P<sub>G</sub>-Val-Pro-Val Pentafluoroethyl Ketones. *J. Med. Chem.* **1994**, *37*, 4538–4554. (c) Durham, S. L.;<br>Hare, C. M.; Angelastro, M. R.; Burkhart, J. P.; Koehl, J. R.;<br>Marquart, A. L.; Mehdi, S.; Peet, N. P.; Janusz, M. J. Pharmacology of N-[4-(4- Morpholinylcarbonyl)benzoyl]-L-Valyl-N- [3,3,4,4-pentafluoro-1-(1-methylethyl)-2-oxobutyl]-L-Prolinamide (MDL 101,146); A Potent Orally Active Inhibitor of Human Neutrophil Elastase. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **<sup>1994</sup>**, *<sup>270</sup>*, 185- 191.
- (9) (a) Edwards, P. D.; Anisik, D. W.; Bryant, C. A.; Ewing, B.; Gomes, B.; Lewis, J. J.; Rakiewicz, D.; Steelman, G.; Strimpler, A.; Trainor, D. A.; Tuthill, P. A.; Mauger, R. C.; Veale, C. A.; Wildonger, R. A.; Williams, J. C.; Wolanin, D. J.; Zottola, M. Discovery and Biological Activity of Orally Active Peptidyl Trifluoromethyl Ketone Inhibitors of Human Neutrophil Elastase. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1997</sup>**, *<sup>40</sup>*, 1876-1885. (b) Edwards, P. D.; Wolanin, D. J.; Anisik, D. W.; Davis, M. W. Peptidyl R-Ketoheterocyclic Inhibitors of Human Neutrophil Elastase. 2. Effect of Varying the Heterocyclic Ring on In Vitro Potency. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1995</sup>**, *<sup>38</sup>*, 76-85. (c) Edwards, P. D.; Meyer, E. F.; Vijayalakshmi, J.; Tuthill, P. A.; Andisik, D. A.; Gomes, B.; Strimpler, A. Design, Synthesis and Kinetic Evaluation of a Unique Class of Elastase Inhibitors, the Peptidyl  $\alpha$ -Ketobenzoxazoles, and the X-ray Crystal Structure of the Covalent Complex Between Porcine Pancreatic Elastase and the Ac-Ala-Pro-Val-2-Benzoxazole. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **<sup>1992</sup>**, *<sup>114</sup>*, 1854- 1863.
- (10) Williams, J. C.; Falcone, R. C.; Knee, C.; Stein, R. L.; Strimpler, A. M.; Reaves, B.; Giles, R. E.; Krell, R. D. Biologic Characterization of ICI 200,880 and ICI 200, 355, Novel Inhibitors of Human Neutrophil Elastase. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* **1991**, *144*, <sup>875</sup>-883.
- (11) (a) Bernsein, P. R.; Gomes, B. C.; Kosmider, B. J.; Vavek, E. P.; Williams, J. C. Nonpeptidic Inhibitors of Human Leukocyte Elastase. 6. Design of a Potent, Intratracheally Active, Pyridone-Based Trifluoromethyl Ketone. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1995</sup>**, *<sup>38</sup>*, 212-

215. (b) Veale, C. A.; Bernstein, P. R.; Byrant, C.; Ceccarelli, C.; Damewood, J. R.; Earley, R.; Feeney, S. W.; Gomes, B.; Kosmider, B. J.; Steelman, G. B.; Thomas, R. M.; Vacek, E. P.; Williams, J. C.; Wolanin, D. J.; Wollson, S. Nonpeptidic Inhibitors of Human Leukocyte Elastase. 5. Design, Synthesis and X-ray Crystallography of a Series of Orally Active 5-Aminopyrimidin-6-one-Containing Trifluoromethyl Ketones. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1995</sup>**, *<sup>38</sup>*, 98-108. (c) Veale, C. A.; Damewood, J. R.; Steelman, G. B.; Byrant, C.; Gomes, B.; Williams, J. Nonpeptidic Inhibitors of Human Leukocyte Elastase. 4. Design, Synthesis and In Vitro and In Vivo Activity of a Series of *â*-Carboline-Containing Trifluoromethyl Ketones. *J. Med. Chem.* **1995**, *38*, <sup>86</sup>-97. (d) Bernsein, P. R.; Andisik, D.; Bradley, P. K.; Bryant, C. B.; Ceccarelli, C.; Damewood, J. R.; Earley, R.; Edwards, P. D.; Fenney, S.; Gomes, B. C.; Kosmider, B. J.; Steelman, G. B.; Thomas, R. M.; Vacek, E. P.; Veale, C. A.; Williams, J. C.; Wolanin, D. J.; Woolson, S. A. Nonpeptidic Inhibitors of Human Leukocyte Elastase. 3. Design, Synthesis, X-ray Crystallographic Analysis, and Structure-Activity Relationships for a Series of Orally Active 3-Amino-6-phenylpyridin-2-one Trifluoromethyl Ketones. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1994</sup>**, *<sup>37</sup>*, 3313-3326 and preceding articles on pyridinones in this series.

- (12) Groutas, W. C.; Kuang, R.; Venkataraman, R. Substituted 3-Oxo-1,2,5- Thiadiazolidine 1,1-Dioxides: A New Class of Potential Mechanism-Based Inhibitors of Human Leukocyte Elastase and Cathepsin G. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **<sup>1994</sup>**, *<sup>198</sup>*, 341- 349.
- (13) Player, M. R.; Sowell, J. W.; Patil, G. S.; Kam, C.-M.; Powers, J. C. 1,3-Oxazino[4,5-*b*]indole-2,4-(1*H*,9*H*)-diones and 5,6-Dimethylpyrrolo[2,3-*d*]-1,3-Oxazin-2,4-(1*H*,7*H*)-diones as Serine Protease Inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **<sup>1994</sup>**, *<sup>4</sup>*, 949-954.
- (14) A recent article reviews both peptide-based and nonpeptidic inhibitors; see: Edwards, P. D.; Bernstein, P. R. Synthetic Inhibitors of Elastase. *Med. Res. Rev.* **<sup>1994</sup>**, *<sup>14</sup>*, 127-194.
- (15) The  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ , and  $S_1$  nomenclature has been described in: Schecter, L.; Berger, A. On the Size of the Active Site in Proteases. I. Papain. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1967**, *27*,
- <sup>157</sup>-161. (16) The quality of the pentafluoroethyl iodide was critical to the reaction yield and was checked by GC. We found varying amounts of higher-order perfluoroalkyl halides (Cl and I including  $CF_2HCF_2I$ ) by  $GC-MS$ . Best results were obtained when perfluoroalkyl iodides of purity 98% or greater were employed. In the case of the batches with <90% purity by GC, we increased the amount used by 3-fold to compensate (use of 9 equiv or greater).
- (17) Freidinger, R. M.; Perlow, D. S.; Veber, D. F. Protected Lactam-Bridged Dipeptides for use as Conformational Constraints in Peptides. *J. Org. Chem.* **<sup>1982</sup>**, *<sup>47</sup>*, 104-109.
- (18) Mehdi, S.; Angelastro, M. R.; Burkhart, J. P.; Koehl, J. R.; Peet, N. P.; Bey, P. The Inhibition of Human Neutrophil Elastase and Cathepsin G by Peptidyl 1,2-Dicarbonyl Derivatives. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **<sup>1990</sup>**, *<sup>166</sup>*, 595-600.
- (19) (a) Angelastro, M. R.; Baugh, L. E.; Bey, P.; Burkhart, J. P.; Chen, T.-M.; Durham, S. L.; Hare, C. M.; Huber, E. W.; Janusz, M. J.; Koehl, J. R.; Marquart, A. L.; Mehdi, S.; Peet, N. P. Inhibition of Human Neutrophil Elastase with Peptidyl Electrophilic Ketones. 2. Orally Active P<sub>G</sub>-Val-Pro-Val Pentafluoroethyl Ketones. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1994</sup>**, *<sup>37</sup>*, 4538-4554. (b) Fletcher, D. S.; Osinga, D. G.; Hand, K. M.; Della, P. S.; Ashe, B. M.; Mumford, R. A.; Davies, P.; Hagmann, W. K.; Finke, P. E.; Doherty, J. B.; Bonney, R. J. A Comparison of  $\alpha_1$ -Proteinase Inhibitor Methoxysuccinyl-Ala-Ala-Pro-Val-Chloromethyl ketone and Specific *â*-Lactam Inhibitors in an Acute Model of Human Polymorphonuclear Leukocyte Elastase-Induced Lung Hemorrhage in the Hamster. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* **<sup>1990</sup>**, *<sup>141</sup>*, 672- 677. (c) Herbert, J. M.; Frehel, D.; Rosso, M. P.; Seban, E.; Castet, C.; Pepin, O.; Maffrand, J. P.; Le Fur, G. Biochemical and Pharmacological Activities of SR 26831, a Potent and Selective Elastase Inhibitor. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1992**, *260*, <sup>809</sup>-816. (d) Shah, S. K.; Dorn, C. P.; Finke, P. E.; Hale, J. J.; Hagmann, W. K.; Brause, K. A.; Chandler, G. O.; Kissinger, A. L.; Dellea, P. S.; Fletcher, D. S.; Hand, K. M.; Mumford, R. A.; Underwood, D. J.; Doherty, J. B. Orally Active *â*-Lactam Inhibitors of Human Leukocyte Elastase-1. Activity of 3,3- Diethyl-2-Azetidinones. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1992</sup>**, *<sup>35</sup>*, 3745-3754. (e) Skiles, J. W.; Fuchs, V.; Miao, C.; Sorek, R.; Grozinger, K. G.; Mauldin, S. C.; Vitous, J.; Mui, P. W.; Jacober, S.; Chow, G.; Matteo, M.; Skoog, M.; Weldon, S. M.; Possanza, G.; Keirns, J.; Letts, G.; Rosenthal, A. S. Inhibition of Human Leukocyte Elastase (HLE) by N-Substituted Peptidyl Trifluoromethyl Ketones. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1992</sup>**, *<sup>35</sup>*, 641-662.
- (20) Snider, G. L.; Lucey, E. C.; Stone, P. J. Animal Models of Emphysema. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* **<sup>1986</sup>**, *<sup>133</sup>*, 149-169.
- (21) The term *mechanism-based* has often been used to describe specific inhibitors which are irreversible suicide inactivators of enzymes. Here it is used in a more general context to define inhibitors whose mode of action is based on the mechanism of enzymatic substrate hydrolysis and which inactivate an enzyme by interacting with the catalytic residues within the active site.
- (22) Navia, M. A.; McKeever, B. M.; Springer, J. P.; Lin, T. Y.; Williams, H. R.; Fluder, E. M.; Dorn, C. P.; Hoogsteen, K. Structure of Human Neutrophil Elastase in Complex with a Peptide Chloromethyl Ketone Inhibitor at 1.84-Å Resolution.<br>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1989, 86, 7-11.
- *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **<sup>1989</sup>**, *<sup>86</sup>*, 7-11. (23) (a) Skiles, J. W.; Fuchs, V.; Miao, C.; Sorcek, R.; Grozinger, K. G.; Maulgin, S. C.; Vitous, J.; Mui, P. W.; Jacober, S.; Chow, G.; Matteo, M.; Skoog, M.; Weldon, S. M.; Possanza, G.; Keirns, J.; Letts, G.; Rosenthal, A. S. Inhibition of Human Leukocyte Elastase (HLE) by N-Substituted Peptidyl Trifluoromethyl Ketones. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1992</sup>**, *<sup>35</sup>*, 641-662. (b) Doherty, A. M.; Sircar, I.; Kornberg, B. L.; Rapundalo, S. R.; Ryan, M. J. Painchaud, C. S. Design and synthesis of Potent, Selective and Orally Active Fluorine-Containing Renin Inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1992</sup>**, *<sup>35</sup>*, 2-14. (c) Williams, J. C.; Falcone, R. C.; Knee, C.; Stein, R. L.; Strimpler, A. M.; Reaves, B.; Giles, R. E.; Krell, R. D. Biologic Characterization of ICI 200,880 and ICI 200,355, Novel Inhibitors of Human Neutrophil Elastase. *Am. Rev.*
- *Respir. Dis.* **<sup>1991</sup>**, *<sup>144</sup>*, 875-883. (24) (a) Bernstein, F. C.; Koetzle, T. F.; Williams, J. B.; Meyer, E. F., Jr.; Brice, M. D.; Rodgers, J. R.; Kennard, O.; Shimanouchi, T.; Tasumi, M. The Protein Data Bank: A Computer-Based Archival File for Macromolecular Structures. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1977**, *<sup>112</sup>*, 535-542. (b) Abola, E. E.; Bernstein, F. C.; Bryant, S. H.; Koetzle, T. F.; Weng, J. In *Protein Data Bank in Crystallographic Databases* - *Information Content, Software Systems, Scientific Applications*; Allen, F. H., Bergerhoff, G., Sievers, R., Eds.; Data Commission of the Int'l Union of Crystallography: Bonn/
- Cambridge/Chester, 1987; pp 107-132. (25) Brown, F. J.; Andisik, D. W.; Bernstein, P. R.; Bryant, C. B.; Ceccarelli, C.; Damewood, J. R., Jr.; Edwards, P. D.; Earley, R. A.; Feeney, S.; Green, R. C.; Gomes, B.; Kosmider, B. J.; Krell, R. D.; Shaw, A.; Steelman, G. B.; Thomas, R. M.; Vacek, E. P.; Veale, C. A.; Tuthill, P. A.; Warner, P.; Williams, J. C.; Wolanin, D. J.; Woolson, S. A. Design of Orally Active, Non-Peptidic Inhibitors of Human Leukocyte Elastase. *J. Med. Chem.* **1994**, *<sup>37</sup>*, 1259-1261.
- (26) (a) Skiles, J. W.; Fuchs, V.; Miao, C.; Sorcek, R.; Grozinger, K. G.; Maulgin, S. C.; Vitous, J.; Mui, P. W.; Jacober, S.; Chow, G.; Matteo, M.; Skoog, M.; Weldon, S. M.; Possanza, G.; Keirns, J.; Letts, G.; Rosenthal, A. S. Inhibition of Human Leukocyte Elastase (HLE) by N-Substituted Peptidyl Trifluoromethyl Ketones. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1992</sup>**, *<sup>35</sup>*, 641-662. (b) Skiles, J. W.; Miao, C.; Sorcek, R.; Jacober, S.; Mui, P. W.; Chow, G.; Weldon, S.; Possanza, G.; Skoog, M.; Rosenthal, A. S. Inhibition of Human Leukocyte Elastase by N-Substituted Peptides Containing α,α-Leukocyte Elastase by N-Substituted Peptides Containing α,α-<br>Difluorostatone Residues at P*t. J. Med. Chem* 1992, 35, 4795– Difluorostatone Residues at P1. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1992</sup>**, *<sup>35</sup>*, 4795- 4808. (c) Skiles, J. W.; Fuchs, V.; Chow, G.; Skoog, M. Inhibition of Human Leukocyte Elastase by N-Substituted Tripeptide Trifluoromethyl Ketones. *Res. Commun. Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol.* **<sup>1990</sup>**, *<sup>68</sup>*, 365-374.
- (27) (a) Skiles, J. W.; Sorcek, R.; Jacober, S.; Miao, C.; Mui, P. W.; McNeil, D.; Rosenthal, A. S. Elastase Inhibitors Containing Conformationally Restricted Lactams as  $P_3-P_2$  Dipeptide Replacements. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **<sup>1993</sup>**, *<sup>3</sup>*, 773-778. (b) Brown, F. J.; Andisik, D. W.; Bernstein, P. R.; Bryant, C. B.; Ceccarelli, C.; Damewood, J. R., Jr.; Edwards, P. D.; Earley, R. A.; Feeney, S.; Green, R. C.; Gomes, B.; Kosmider, B. J.; Krell, R. D.; Shaw, A.; Steelman, G. B.; Thomas, R. M.; Vacek, E. P.; Veale, C. A.; Tuthill, P. A.; Warner, P.; Williams, J. C.; Wolanin, D. J.; Woolson, S. A. Design of Orally Active, Non-Peptidic Inhibitors of Human Leukocyte Elastase. *J. Med. Chem.* **1994**, *37*, 1259–1261. (c) Bernsein, P. R.; Andisik, D.; Bradley, P. K.;<br>Bryant, C. B.; Ceccarelli, C.; Damewood, J. R.; Earley, R.; Edwards, P. D.; Fenney, S.; Gomes, B. C.; Kosmider, B. J.; Steelman, G. B.; Thomas, R. M.; Vacek, E. P.; Veale, C. A.; Williams, J. C.; Wolanin, D. J.; Woolson, S. A. Nonpeptidic Inhibitors of Human Leukocyte Elastase. 3. Design, Synthesis, X-ray Crystallographic Analysis, and Structure-Activity Relationships for a Series of Orally Active 3-Amino-6-phenylpyridin-2-one Trifluoromethyl Ketones. *J. Med. Chem.* **<sup>1994</sup>**, *<sup>37</sup>*, 3313- 3326.
- (28) Hoffman, R. V.; Kim, H.-O. A New Chiral Alkylation Methodology for the Synthesis of 2-Alkyl-4-Ketoacids in High Optical Purity Using 2-Triflyloxy Esters. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*,  $2051 - 2054$ .
- (29) Private communication with D. Ringe.
- (30) Brown, F. J.; Andisik, D. W.; Bernstein, P. R.; Bryant, C. B.; Ceccarelli, C.; Damewood, J. R., Jr.; Edwards, P. D.; Earley, R. A.; Feeney, S.; Green, R. C.; Gomes, B.; Kosmider, B. J.; Krell, R. D.; Shaw, A.; Steelman, G. B.; Thomas, R. M.; Vacek, E. P.;

Veale, C. A.; Tuthill, P. A.; Warner, P.; Williams, J. C.; Wolanin, D. J.; Woolson, S. A. Design of Orally Active, Non-Peptidic Inhibitors of Human Leukocyte Elastase. *J. Med. Chem.* **1994**,

- *<sup>37</sup>*, 1259-1261. (31) The protein solution was prepared with the following concentrations: 13 mg/mL PPE obtained from Serva, 9.3 mM sodium acetate (pH 5.0), 22.4 mM sodium sulfate, and 2.2 mM MDL 103,139 (4.8 *µ*L of a 50 mM solution of MDL 103,139 in DMF was added to 102.4 *µ*L of protein solution). Crystallization was performed using a sitting drop setup with drops of  $25 \mu L$  of protein solution. To prevent evaporation of the drops, 500 mL of 10 mM sodium acetate (pH 5.0) with 20 mM sodium sulfate was added to the reservoir. Crystals appeared within 1 week.
- (32) (a) Takahashi, L. H.; Radhakrishnan, R.; Rosenfield, R. E., Jr.; Meyer, E. F., Jr.; Trainor, D. A.; Stien, M. X-ray Diffraction Analysis of the Inhibition of Porcine Pancreatic Elastase by a Peptidyl Trifluoromethyl Ketone. *J. Mol. Biol.* **<sup>1988</sup>**, *<sup>201</sup>*, 423- 428. (b) Takahashi, L. H.; Radhakrishnan, R.; Rosenfield, R. E., Jr.; Meyer, E. F., Jr.; Trainor, D. A. Crystal Structure of the Covalent Complex Formed by a Peptidyl  $\alpha, \alpha$ -Difluoro- $\beta$ -Keto Amide with Porcine Pancreatic Elastase at 1.78-Å Resolution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **<sup>1989</sup>**, *<sup>111</sup>*, 3368-3374.
- (33) Kabsch, W. Evaluation of Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Data from a Position Sensitive Detector. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1988**, *<sup>21</sup>*, 916-924.
- (34) Brünger, A. T. *X-plor manual*, version 3.1; Yale University Press: New Haven, CT, 1992.
- (35) A protein solution was prepared by dissolving HNE from human sputum (Elastin Products, Owensville, MO) to a concentration of 25 mg/mL in a solution of 2 mM MDL 103,542 in water with 4% (v/v) DMF. The reservoir solution was prepared from stock solutions of 3.0 M ammonium phosphate (pH 3.8) and 1.0 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) to a final concentration of 1.5 M ammonium phosphate and 0.1 M Tris; 4  $\mu$ L of protein solution was mixed with 1  $\mu$ L of reservoir solution and set to equilibrate at 4 °C above 1 mL of reservoir solution. After mixing, the pH of the drop was 5.2. A large number of small intergrown crystals appeared after 1 week. However, analysis of these crystals showed that they were not suitable for X-ray analysis, and they were dissolved by adding  $2 \times 5$  µL of water to the drop; 72 h after the water addition, a small crystal, grown under similar conditions, was transferred to the drop, to act as a seed. The

length of the seed increased in 1 week from 0.2 to 1.25 mm and was used for X-ray analysis.

- (36) Navaza, J. AMoRe: An Automated Package for Molecular
- Replacement. *Acta Crystallogr.* **<sup>1994</sup>**, *A50*, 157-163. (37) Dauber-Osguthorpe, P.; Roberts, V. A.; Osguthorpe, D. J.; Wolff, J.; Genest, M.; Hagler, A. T. Structure and Energetics of Ligand Binding to Proteins: *E. Coli* Dihydrofolate Reductase-Trimethoprim, a Drug-Receptor System. *Proteins: Struct. Funct. Genet.* **<sup>1988</sup>**, *<sup>4</sup>*, 31-47. (38) Discover, version 2.9, 1993; Biosym Technologies, 9685 Scranton
- Rd, San Diego, CA 92121-2777.
- (39) Maple, J. R.; Dinur, U.; Hagler, A. T. Derivation of Force Fields for Molecular Mechanics and Dynamics from Ab Initio Energy Surfaces. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **<sup>1988</sup>**, *<sup>85</sup>*, 5350-5354.
- (40) Dewar, M. J. S.; Zoebisch, E. G.; Healy, E. F.; Stewart, J. J. P. AM1: A New General Purpose Quantum Mechanical Molecular Model. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **<sup>1985</sup>**, *<sup>107</sup>*, 3902-3909.
- (41) Stewart, J. P. P. MOPAC: A general molecular orbital package, version 6.0. QCPE 455, Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange, Bloomington, IN 47405.
- (42) Grid scans of 10° were carried out followed by BFGS geometry optimization using convergence criteria set using the PRECISE keyword.
- (43) Irwin, R. S.; Vorpagel, E. R. Relationships Between Ring Substitution, Chair Conformation in Solution and Polymer Properties in Aramids. *Macromolecules* **<sup>1993</sup>**, *<sup>26</sup>*, 3391-3402.
- (44) Fong, W. C.; Grant, H. G. Torsional Angles in N-Substituted Benzamides and Related Compounds by Carbon-13 NMR Chemical Shifts. *Aust. J. Chem.* **<sup>1981</sup>**, *<sup>34</sup>*, 957-967.
- (45) Other staining solutions, when mentioned, were prepared as described in: *Thin -Layer Chromatography, a Laboratory Hand*book; Stahl, E., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1969.
- (46) Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. Rapid Chromatographic Technique for Preparative Separations with Moderate Resolution. *J. Org. Chem.* **<sup>1978</sup>**, *<sup>43</sup>*, 2923-2925.
- (47) Prepared by Robert V. Hoffman and H.-O. Kim, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM.<br>Schmidt, U.; Öhler, E. Facile Synthesis of  $\alpha, \beta$ -Dehydro Amino
- (48) Schmidt, U.; Ohler, E. Facile Synthesis of α,β-Dehydro Amino<br>Acid Esters. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1977**, *16*, 327–328.

JM970812E